

# 2024 FINAL REPORT

## *Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program*



*Prepared for:*



**Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed  
Management District**

*P.O. Box 143  
Hawley, PA 18428*

*Prepared by:*

 **Aqua Link, Inc.**

*Pond, Lake & Stream Management & Supplies*

*P.O. Box 605                      Ph: 215.230.9325  
Doylestown, PA 18901        [www.aqualinkinc.com](http://www.aqualinkinc.com)*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>Section No.</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. LAKE MONITORING PROGRAM &amp; FIELD OBSERVATIONS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1. LAKE MONITORING PROGRAM.....	4
2.2. FIELD OBSERVATIONS.....	5
<b>3. LOCAL CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4. LAKE WATER QUALITY DATA RESULTS FOR 2024 .....</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1. TEMPERATURE AND DISSOLVED OXYGEN.....	9
4.2. PH & ALKALINITY .....	10
4.3. PHOSPHORUS .....	13
4.4. NITROGEN.....	14
4.5. SECCHI DISK TRANSPARENCY & CHLOROPHYLL-A .....	18
4.6. TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS.....	20
4.7. PHYTOPLANKTON & ZOOPLANKTON BIOMASS .....	21
4.7.1. <i>Phytoplankton</i> .....	21
4.7.2. <i>Zooplankton</i> .....	23
4.8. CARLSON’S TROPHIC STATE INDEX VALUES .....	25
4.9. SUMMARY OF LAKE ASSESSMENT DATA .....	26
<b>5. HISTORICAL LAKE WATER QUALITY TRENDS.....</b>	<b>28</b>
5.1. PHOSPHORUS .....	28
5.2. NITROGEN.....	28
5.3. SECCHI TRANSPARENCY .....	31
5.4. CHLOROPHYLL-A .....	31
5.5. PHYTOPLANKTON & ZOOPLANKTON BIOMASS .....	31
5.6. CARLSON TROPHIC STATE INDEX VALUES .....	34
5.7. SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL LAKE DATA.....	35
<b>6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>7. LITERATURE CITED .....</b>	<b>41</b>

**Appendices**

- Appendix A Glossary of Lake and Watershed Management Terms
- Appendix B Primer on Lake Ecology & Watershed Concepts
- Appendix C Lake Water Quality Data for 2024

**Cover Page**

Picture of Lake Wallenpaupack taken by Aqua Link in September of 2024.

**List of Tables**

<b><u>Table No.</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Table 4.1 Mean pH & Alkalinity Concentrations at Station 3 in 2024.....	13
Table 4.2 Mean Phosphorus Concentrations at Station 3 in 2024 .....	14
Table 4.3 Mean Nitrogen Concentrations at Station 3 in 2024.....	16
Table 4.4 Mean Secchi & Chlorophyll-a Values at Station 3 in 2024.....	19
Table 4.5 Mean Total Suspended Solids Concentrations at Station 3 in 2024 .....	21
Table 4.6 Mean Carlson’s TSI Values at Station 3 in 2024.....	25

**List of Figures**

<b><u>Figure No.</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Figure 1.1 Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed .....	1
Figure 2.1 Lake Monitoring Stations .....	5
Figure 3.1 Historical Air Temperature Data in the Pocono Region .....	8
Figure 3.2 Historical Precipitation Data in the Pocono Region .....	8
Figure 4.1 Water Temperature Profiles at Station 3 in 2024 .....	11
Figure 4.2 Dissolved Oxygen Profiles at Station 3 in 2024.....	11
Figure 4.3 Total Phosphorus Concentrations at Station 3 Surface in 2024 .....	15
Figure 4.4 Total Phosphorus Concentrations at Station 3 Bottom in 2024 .....	15
Figure 4.5 Total Nitrogen Concentrations at Station 3 Surface in 2024.....	17
Figure 4.6 Total Nitrogen Concentrations at Station 3 Bottom in 2024.....	17
Figure 4.7 Secchi Disk Depths at Station 3 in 2024 .....	19
Figure 4.8 Chlorophyll-a Concentrations at Station 3 Surface in 2024.....	20
Figure 4.9 Phytoplankton Biomass at Station 3 in 2024.....	24
Figure 4.10 Zooplankton Biomass at Station 3 in 2024.....	24
Figure 5.1 Historical Total Phosphorus Concentrations in Surface Waters .....	29
Figure 5.2 Historical Total Phosphorus Concentrations in Bottom Waters.....	29
Figure 5.3 Historical Total Nitrogen Concentrations in Surface Waters.....	30
Figure 5.4 Historical Total Nitrogen Concentrations in Bottom Waters .....	30
Figure 5.5 Historical Secchi Disk Transparency .....	32
Figure 5.6 Historical Chlorophyll-a Concentrations.....	32
Figure 5.7 Historical Phytoplankton Biomass .....	33
Figure 5.8 Historical Zooplankton Biomass .....	33
Figure 5.9 Historical Carlson’s TSI Values .....	34

## ***ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS***

Aqua Link would like to extend its appreciation to the Directors of the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD) and their organizations for their strong commitment and dedication in protecting and improving the water quality of Lake Wallenpaupack and all of its tributaries throughout Pike, Wayne, Lackawanna and Monroe Counties. Special thanks are extended to Mr. Nick Spinelli, LWWMD Executive Director, for all of his assistance throughout the duration of this project.

### ***2024 LWWMD Board of Directors***

*Steve Gelderman, Chairperson, At Large*  
*Carson Helfrich, Vice Chairperson, Pike County At Large*  
*Ken Coutts, Secretary, Supervisor, Palmyra Township*  
*Michele Long, Secretary, At Large*  
*Andy Anderson, Lake Watch Assistance*  
*George Anns, At Large*  
*Amy Burnett, Brookfield Renewable*  
*Kelly Cumiskey, Wayne County At Large*  
*Karl Eisenhauer, Wayne County At Large*  
*Justin Genzlinger, Pike County At Large*  
*Katie Gray, Lackawanna County At Large*  
*Doug Hayes, At Large*  
*David Hooker, Monroe County At Large*  
*Tom Macdonough, Marine Trades Association*  
*Rachael Marques, Pike County Conservation District*  
*James Martin, Paupack Township Supervisor*  
*Brian Schan, Sewage Treatment Plant Operator*  
*Ronald Schmalzle, Pike County Commissioner*  
*Jim Shook, Wayne County Commissioner*  
*Andy Weist, Wayne Conservation District*

***LWWMD Executive Director***  
*Nick Spinelli*

## ***EXECUTIVE SUMMARY***

Lake Wallenpaupack, a 5,700-acre reservoir, is an extremely valuable multi-recreational and economic resource for Northeastern Pennsylvania. The lake is nestled within the Pocono Mountains in Pike and Wayne Counties. Lake Wallenpaupack is used extensively for a wide variety of water-related activities including swimming, fishing, boating, water skiing, and snowmobiling.

Over the years, the water quality of Lake Wallenpaupack has been routinely monitored since 1980. In 2024, the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD) once again retained Aqua Link to serve as the District's lake consultant. Aqua Link and the District monitored the water quality of the lake from May through October. Thereafter, Aqua Link analyzed all of the newly acquired lake data and prepared the annual lake water quality report for the District. As part of this report, Aqua Link also compared the 2024 data to the historical data collected from 1980 through 2023 to determine whether lake water quality has improved or degraded over the past 45 years. The District first hired Aqua Link back in 2010 to rebuild the historical lake water quality database and to redesign the lake water quality monitoring program in order to be more cost-effective while still providing high quality data for various key lake parameters (Aqua Link 2012).

The overall water quality of Lake Wallenpaupack was considered fair to good with respect to trophic state and its overall appearance in 2024. The 2024 study year was considered very warm with slightly above average precipitation. Over a 45-year period (1980-2024), study year 2024 was tied for the second highest mean air temperature reported for the growing season (May – October). In terms of trophic state, Lake Wallenpaupack was best classified as a slightly eutrophic reservoir in 2024. The mean Carlson TSI (Trophic State Index) values for Secchi disk transparency, chlorophyll-a, and total phosphorus were 52, 54, and 50, respectively, for 2024.

The lake was strongly, thermally stratified between June through August, moderately stratified in May and September, and destratified on the October date in 2024. As in the past, the dissolved oxygen levels rapidly decreased within deeper lake waters (hypolimnion), most evidently in July and August of 2024. During thermal stratification in Lake Wallenpaupack, once again, extremely low dissolved oxygen levels in deeper lake waters (hypolimnion) appear to be promoting accelerated release rates of phosphorus via anoxic in-lake sediments. This phenomenon is referred to as internal loading or internal phosphorus loading and the net result is more available phosphorus for the growth of phytoplankton (planktonic algae) throughout the lake.

## **Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024 Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**

---

The overall water clarity was quite good in May and declined progressively through August. Thereafter, visibility remained fairly consistent through September, only to improve very slightly in October. In some cases, lake water clarity (transparency) was well correlated with the amounts of phytoplankton (algae) in Lake Wallenpaupack. This was most evident in August and September when both Secchi disk values were low and phytoplankton biomass values were at moderately high to high levels. Total phytoplankton biomass was moderate to moderately high in May, low in June, moderately low in July, moderately high in August, high in September, and low to moderately low in October. Total phytoplankton biomass fluctuated significantly throughout the season from May through October. From May through June, the lake had a healthier looking brown tint. Starting in July and continuing through October, the lake took on a green tint appearance, when the phylum Cyanophyta (blue-green algae) had higher biomass.


As in past years, the phytoplankton community was dominated by blue-green algae (Cyanophyta) during much of the growing season, from June through October in 2024. The most common genera were *Dolichospermum*, formerly known as *Anabaena*, and, to a lesser degree, *Aphanizomenon*.

Based upon trend analysis, the water quality of Lake Wallenpaupack has generally improved since 1980 even though there appears to be a significant annual warming trend in Northeastern Pennsylvania. Total phosphorus concentrations in surface and bottom waters and Secchi transparency (water clarity) have gradually improved over the past 45 years. Total nitrogen concentrations in surface waters have fluctuated and decreased moderately since sampling began. In contrast, total nitrogen concentrations in bottom waters have greatly fluctuated throughout the years and have increased slightly as a trend. Chlorophyll-a concentrations have increased moderately over time. Phytoplankton and zooplankton biomasses have fluctuated widely over the study period, but the overall trends have been that phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass have increased very slightly and decreased moderately, respectively.

With respect to more recent trends, lake water clarity has generally remained somewhat stable with mean Secchi disk transparency values around 2 meters (6.6 feet) from 2008 to 2024. During this period, water clarity in the lake typically decreases in mid-summer and into the fall months within any given study year as a result of blue-green (cyanobacteria) algal blooms. The disagreement between the calculated Carlson trophic state index (TSI) values for total phosphorus, chlorophyll-a, and Secchi disk depth throughout the years suggests that factors other than phosphorus are directly impacting water clarity and the amounts of phytoplankton in the lake. Higher chlorophyll-a concentrations (higher amounts of phytoplankton) may be related to warmer lake waters as a result of climate change.

## 1. Introduction

Lake Wallenpaupack, a 5,700-acre reservoir, is an extremely valuable multi-recreational and economic resource for Northeastern Pennsylvania. The lake is nestled within the Pocono Mountains in Pike and Wayne Counties. Lake Wallenpaupack is used extensively for a wide variety of water-related activities including swimming, fishing, boating, water skiing, and snowmobiling. Lake Wallenpaupack is within vacationing distance of millions of inhabitants of the mid-Atlantic states. Philadelphia, New York City, Trenton, Scranton and Wilkes-Barre are all located within 100 miles of Lake Wallenpaupack, and the lake receives substantial use by these city residents. The Lake Wallenpaupack watershed is quite extensive and encompasses 219 square miles spread over four counties and 14 townships as shown in Figure 1.1 (LWWMD website at [www.wallenpaupackwatershed.org](http://www.wallenpaupackwatershed.org)).



**Figure 1.1 Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed**

## **Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024 Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**

---

In 1926, the Pennsylvania Power & Light Co. (PP&L) dammed the creek and built the lake to supply water for a hydroelectric power plant. Building the lake was a monumental task, considering that mules and steam engines were the only source of power for construction projects in those days. It took 2,700 people about two years to do the job. Farms, barns, and houses in the lake bed were demolished or moved, trees were cut down, utility poles and 17 miles of roadway were moved, and a cemetery was relocated. Wallenpaupack Creek was rerouted while the concrete dam was being built. PP&L also built a dike in Tafton to impound Wallenpaupack Creek. Once the dam and dike were completed, the stream was allowed to resume its course and fill the lake with water (LWWMD website at [www.wallenpaupackwatershed.org](http://www.wallenpaupackwatershed.org)).

On June 1, 2015, PPL reorganized itself to eventually become Talen Energy. Talen Energy then subsequently sold the lake and its associated dam to Brookfield Renewable Energy Partners, LP on April 1, 2016. Presently, Brookfield Renewable is the current owner of Lake Wallenpaupack.

Over the years, the water quality of Lake Wallenpaupack has been routinely monitored since 1980. In 2024, the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD) once again retained Aqua Link to assess the water quality of the lake in terms of trophic state and eutrophication. Aqua Link is a nationally recognized environmental consulting firm that specializes in stream, lake, and watershed management and restoration. Aqua Link and the District monitored the water quality of the lake from May through October. Thereafter, Aqua Link analyzed all of the newly acquired lake data and prepared this annual lake water quality report for the District.

As part of this final report, Aqua Link thoroughly discusses all of the lake water quality data collected in 2024. Aqua Link also compared the 2024 data to the historical data collected from 1980 through 2023 to determine whether lake water quality has improved or degraded over the past 45 years. Lastly, this final report provides our conclusions and recommendations to further protect and improve lake water quality.

The District first hired Aqua Link back in the winter of 2009-10 to build a historical lake water quality database and to redesign the lake water quality monitoring program (Aqua Link 2012). The historical database initially was comprised of lake water quality data reported at five different lake stations that were typically monitored either bimonthly or monthly throughout the year from 1980 through 2009. This historical water quality database served as the foundation for this report.

Aqua Link redesigned the lake monitoring program to be more cost-effective and more sustainable for the District and its lake partners. Beginning in 2012, the lake monitoring program was reduced to two lake monitoring stations that were monitored monthly from May through October. The monitoring program continued to collect high quality data for those key water

***Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024  
Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District***

---

quality parameters relating to trophic state and the process of eutrophication. This pared down monitoring program still captures high quality water quality data during both the spring and fall turnover periods plus during the peak of the summer recreational season and early fall when lake problems are most prevalent.

## **2. Lake Monitoring Program & Field Observations**

### **2.1. Lake Monitoring Program**


Aqua Link and LWWMD monitored the water quality of Lake Wallenpaupack at Stations 3 and 5 in 2024 (Figure 2.1). These monitoring stations were monitored once a month during May through October.

In 2024, *insitu* data were collected at the designated lake stations on each study date. These *in-situ* water quality data were measured and recorded. *In-situ* water quality data (pH, dissolved oxygen, temperature, conductivity, specific conductance, total dissolved solids, salinity, and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), chlorophyll-a and phycocyanins) were measured and recorded simultaneously using a YSI ProDSS Sonde and data logger (Yellow Spring Instruments). These data were collected at one-meter intervals from the surface to the bottom of the lake at each station. In addition, Secchi disk transparency (water clarity) was measured and recorded using a standard 8-inch (20 cm) freshwater Secchi disk at the lake stations on each study date.

In 2024, water samples were collected at two different depths on each study date at Stations 3 and 5. Surface samples were collected one meter (3.3 feet) below the lake's surface and bottom samples were collected one meter (3.3 feet) above the lake sediments. All water samples were collected using a Van Dorn water sampler unit. Once collected, all water samples were placed in bottles, preserved accordingly in the field, and then shipped to the certified contract laboratory for further analysis.

The collected surface water samples were analyzed for alkalinity, total phosphorus, soluble reactive phosphorus (namely orthophosphorus), nitrate, nitrite, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, ammonia, total suspended solids, chlorophyll-a, and phaeophytin. The bottom water samples were analyzed for alkalinity, total phosphorus, soluble reactive phosphorus, nitrate, nitrite, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, ammonia, and total suspended solids. In addition, surface samples were collected for phytoplankton and composite samples were collected for zooplankton analysis (identification and enumeration) at Station 3 on each study date. Surface water samples were collected using the Van Dorn sampler for later phytoplankton analysis. The composite samples for zooplankton identification and enumeration were obtained by vertically towing the entire lake water column using a 80 um (micron), 6 inch diameter, mesh plankton net.

All collected water chemistry samples were shipped directly to the contract laboratory, ECM (Environmental Compliance Monitoring, Inc.) in Hillsborough, New Jersey, for analysis. All phytoplankton and zooplankton samples were preserved in the field and subsequently analyzed by Dr. Kenneth Wagner of Wilbraham, Massachusetts.



**Figure 2.1 Lake Monitoring Stations**

## **2.2. Field Observations**

The overall water clarity at Station 3 at Lake Wallenpaupack was relatively good with a healthy brown tint in May through June. A noticeable decline in visibility and water color was observed, especially in mid-July through October, when the water had a green tint. Only minimal improvement in clarity was observed in mid-October of 2024, after the lake mixed. Similar observations were made at Station 5 throughout the study period with the best clarity observed in May and the least water clarity in August. When compared to Station 3, the water appearance at Station 5 was similar, but typically more cloudy, likely due to higher plankton concentrations and/or additional turbidity.

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024**  
**Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**

---

The majority of the lake experienced varying levels of increased surface phytoplankton concentrations during the study period in 2024. Since much of the phytoplankton was blue-green algae and observed near the surface, the phytoplankton were often blown into shoreline areas where populations were far denser than the sampling locations. As a result, algae concentrations were more pronounced along those windblown shoreline areas. Therefore, the overall phytoplankton concentration was often difficult to accurately measure. In July through mid-October, Aqua Link observed an overall decline in water clarity and appearance throughout most of the lake. Reduced water clarity was attributed primarily to increased blue-green algal concentrations near the lake surface (within the first meter or less). This deterioration was most evident in August through September at both Station 3 and Station 5.

From May through October, no invasive submerged macrophytes were observed in transit to and from the lake monitoring stations (Stations 3 and 5). However, in 2016, Aqua Link was asked to investigate a small patch of submerged plants that was located on the western shore of the lake. Based upon our preliminary field identification, this small patch of aquatic vegetation appeared to be the invasive plant, Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*). Another possibility is that these plants are a hybrid of Eurasian watermilfoil and native northern watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum sibiricum*). The only way to accurately identify this plant is by collecting and submitting a plant sample to a laboratory for genetic testing.


### **3. Local Climatological Data**

Aqua Link acquired and analyzed local climatological data, which are representative for Northeastern Pennsylvania (Figures 4.1 and 4.2). Overall, temperatures were well above average and precipitation was considered to be slightly above average in 2024 when compared to data presented over the previous 44 years.


Figure 4.1 shows the average (mean) air temperatures for the growing season (May through October) from 1980 through 2024. Monthly temperature data were obtained via the Internet at the Pennsylvania State Climatologist website. Information at this website is provided by the College of Earth and Mineral Sciences at Penn State. Data were collected by averaging temperature data (in degrees Fahrenheit) for the months of May through October for each of the study years. The trend line suggests a rise in mean temperatures in the region from the period of May through October since 1980, with some significant yearly fluctuations observed. Over the past 45 years, between May through October, only eighteen times has the mean air temperature exceeded 62 degrees and thirteen of these years occurred recently from 2010 through 2024 (fifteen-year period). This warming trend in the NE PA may be adversely impacting lake water quality by providing more favorable conditions for algae growth and reproduction – primarily unfavorable blue-green alga species. Based upon the Figure 4.1, the year 2024 was one of the warmest years on record from 1980 with respect to mean air temperature during the growing season.

Figure 4.2 shows the total precipitation amounts from 1980 through 2024. Annual precipitation data was obtained via the Internet at the NOAA national weather service website. Precipitation measurements (recorded in inches) were reported at Avoca, Pennsylvania from 1980 through 2024. This data was released on a provisional basis and may be subject to change. Similar to the average mean temperature, the precipitation trend line is increasing over time in the region since 1980. Also, similar to the average air temperature graph, significant fluctuations in precipitation through the years are quite evident. Overall, annual precipitation amounts have been consistently low for the past four years prior to 2017, in NE PA. However, a sharp increase was observed in 2018, only to drop marginally in 2019. Another moderate drop in precipitation was observed in 2020, followed by a moderate increase in 2021, a moderate decrease in 2022, a moderate increase in 2023, and a moderate decrease in 2024. Changes in precipitation will inevitably impact the flushing rate and hydraulic residence time of the lake, which in turn will impact lake water quality to some extent. At this point, it is unclear whether lake water quality and clarity are impacted positively or negatively in response to lower or higher hydraulic loadings (lower or higher volumes of incoming water to the lake via streams and shallow groundwater) to the lake. While annual fluctuations in both temperature and precipitation are common, it is clear that our climate is becoming warmer and wetter.

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024**  
**Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**



**Figure 3.1 Historical Air Temperature Data in the Pocono Region**



**Figure 3.2 Historical Precipitation Data in the Pocono Region**

## **4. Lake Water Quality Data Results for 2024**

The water quality data for Lake Wallenpaupack in 2024 are presented in this section of the report. As discussed in Section 2, the lake was monitored at Stations 3 and 5 in 2024 (Figure 2.1). The majority of this report focuses on the water quality data collected at Station 3, which is centrally located within the lake. This station has historically been used to describe the overall water quality of Lake Wallenpaupack and this appears to be quite logical based upon our review of data since 1980. In contrast, Station 5 is located uplake near the confluence of Wallenpaupack Creek and represents more eutrophic conditions – especially with respect to nutrients. Wallenpaupack Creek is the major tributary to the lake and drains a substantial portion of the massive Lake Wallenpaupack watershed (Figure 1.1).

With the exception of dissolved oxygen and water temperature, the water quality data at Station 3 are presented as average (mean) values for the growing season (May through October for 2024). The growing season is a very important time period, since this is the time when the lakes are most heavily used (e.g. recreation, aesthetics) and most water quality problems, as related to eutrophication, occur. All of the lake data that were collected and analyzed in 2024 are presented in Appendix C.

### **4.1. Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen**

In late spring or the beginning of summer, many moderately deep to deep temperate lakes develop stratified layers of water. Under stratified conditions, warmer and colder waters are near the lake's surface (epilimnion) and the lake's bottom (hypolimnion), respectively. As the temperature differences become greater between these two water layers, the resistance to mixing increases. During lake stratification, the epilimnion is usually oxygen-rich due to photosynthesis and direct inputs from the atmosphere, while the hypolimnion may become depleted of oxygen due to the respiration of aquatic organisms. As previously discussed, aquatic organisms (e.g., bacteria, fungi, protozoan, zooplankton, macroinvertebrates, and fish) consume dissolved oxygen in order to metabolize prey or detritus (U.S. EPA 1980, U.S. EPA 1990 and U.S. EPA 1993).

Conversely, shallow temperate lakes may only become weakly stratified during the summer months or some lakes may never stratify at all. The overall degree and duration of stratification in weakly stratified lakes are largely dependent upon local wind conditions and the morphological characteristics of the lake itself. During windy days, surface wave action may be sufficient to partially or completely destratify (mix) a lake. Conversely, a shallow lake may become partially stratified on windless days.

Overall, water temperatures and dissolved oxygen concentrations are very important with regards to a lake's fishery. In general, the optimal water temperature for salmonid fish (i.e., trout) is 55 to 60 °F (12.8 to 15.6 °C). Trout may withstand water temperatures above 80 °F (26.7 °C)

## **Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024 Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**

---

for several hours, but if water temperatures exceed 75 °F (23.9 °C) for extended periods, high trout mortality is expected (Pennsylvania State University). Conversely, non-salmonid fish such as golden shiners, bass, bluegills, can grow well even when water temperatures exceed 80 °F (26.7 °C). In general, safe minimum dissolved oxygen concentrations for adult salmonid and non-salmonid fish are 5.0 and 3.0 mg/L, respectively. When dissolved oxygen concentrations fall below these concentrations, production impairment of the lake's fishery can be expected.

In addition to impacting the lake's fishery, low dissolved oxygen levels in the bottom waters of a lake will often accelerate the release of nutrients such as soluble orthophosphorus (analytically measured as dissolved reactive phosphorus) and ammonia nitrogen, from anoxic (oxygen depleted) in-lake sediments. In particular, the accelerated release rates of nutrients (referred to as internal loading) can represent a substantial portion of all incoming nutrients to a lake. Increased nutrient loadings via in-lake sediments may further degrade lake water quality by increasing the production of both phytoplankton and aquatic macrophytes (vascular plants).


### Lake Wallenpaupack

The 2024 water temperature and dissolved oxygen profile data for Lake Wallenpaupack are graphically presented in Figures 4.1 through 4.2. The maximum water depth at Station 3 was 12.7 meters (41.5 feet) in 2024. The lake was strongly, thermally stratified between June through August, moderately stratified in May and September, and destratified on the October date (Figure 4.1). Figure 4.2 shows that dissolved oxygen levels rapidly decreased within deeper lake waters (hypolimnion), most evidently in July and August of 2024. The thermocline, which is the point where the temperature change is the greatest, divides the epilimnion (surface waters) and the hypolimnion (bottom waters), was located at a depth of approximately 6 to 9 meters (19.7 to 29.5 feet) during the period of mid-July through mid-August, when the lake was most thermally stratified. In mid-October, the lake mixed and eventually became thermally destratified. This process is commonly referred to as the fall turnover period in lakes.


## **4.2. pH & Alkalinity**

The pH and alkalinity of water are directly related to one another. In general, as alkalinity increases, the pH of the water also increases. The acidity or basicity of a solution is most often expressed as pH. The term pH is defined as the logarithm of the reciprocal (or its negative logarithm) of the hydrogen ion concentration. Therefore, a one unit change in pH represents a ten-fold increase or decrease in the hydrogen ion concentration (as pH decreases, the hydrogen ion concentration increases). The pH scale ranges from 0 to 14 standard units where a value of 7 indicates neutral conditions. Water becomes more acidic when pH values fall below 7 and more basic when pH values rise above 7. In general, most natural waters usually have a pH value between 6.5 and 8.5.

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024  
Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**



**Figure 4.1 Water Temperature Profiles at Station 3 in 2024**



**Figure 4.2 Dissolved Oxygen Profiles at Station 3 in 2024**

Aquatic life in lakes can be adversely impacted when pH levels drop too low in lakes. When pH concentrations fall below 6.0 standard units, there is a greater risk to increase the concentration of heavy metals, in particular aluminum. High concentrations of hydrogen and aluminum ions are known to adversely affect the ion regulation of aquatic organisms, a condition referred to as "osmoregulatory failure". When osmoregulatory failure occurs, high hydrogen and aluminum concentrations induce the leaching of sodium and chloride ions from the body fluids of fish and other aquatic organisms (U.S. EPA, 1990). As summarized by J. Baker, pH values ranging from 5.5 to 6.0 standard units can result in the loss of sensitive minnows and dace, which may be important as forage fish for game fish. In addition, the pH levels below 6.0 are also known to adversely affect the reproductive success rates of game fish, such as walleye (U.S. EPA, 1990).

Alkalinity refers to the capacity of water to neutralize (or buffer against) acid inputs. Alkalinity of natural waters is due primarily to the presence of hydroxides ( $\text{OH}^-$ ), bicarbonates ( $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ), carbonates ( $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ) and occasionally borates, silicates, and phosphates. Therefore, the carbonate–bicarbonate equilibrium system ( $\text{CO}_2 - \text{HCO}_3^- - \text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ) is the major buffering mechanism in freshwater lakes (Wetzel 1983).

Alkalinity is typically expressed in units of milligrams per liter (mg/l) of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (calcium carbonate). Waters having a pH below 4.5 contain no alkalinity. Low alkalinity is the main indicator of susceptibility of aquatic organisms to acidic inputs (e.g., acid rain and acidic dry fallout). Waters with pH values ranging from 6 to 9 are largely comprised of bicarbonate ( $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ). At higher pH values, carbonate ( $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ) plays a more important role in the buffering capacity of the water. Lakes with watersheds that contain sedimentary carbonate rocks are high in dissolved carbonates (hard-water lakes). Conversely, lakes with granite or igneous rocks are low in dissolved carbonates (soft water lakes). In the Northeastern U.S., the alkalinity of natural surface waters typically ranges from 5 to over 200 mg/L as  $\text{CaCO}_3$ .

### Lake Wallenpaupack

The 2024 mean pH values for surface and bottom waters are presented in Table 4.1. Overall, the bottom waters are considered to be slightly acidic. The moderately higher mean values for the surface waters were due to increased levels of photosynthesis by phytoplankton in the epilimnion (surface waters).

The mean alkalinity concentrations for surface and bottom waters in 2024 are also presented in Table 4.1. The mean values are considered somewhat low, but typical for lakes within the Pocono Mountain region and the Northeastern U.S. Overall, the mean alkalinity concentrations should be sufficiently high enough to regulate or maintain stable pH levels in the lake. This simply means that the lake is not highly susceptible to acidic inputs such as, acid rain, acidic runoff from snowmelt and acidic dry deposition. Conversely, when acidic inputs are episodically

high, the pH levels in the lake may decline, thereby providing additional stress on acid intolerant aquatic organisms.

**Table 4.1 Mean pH & Alkalinity Concentrations at Station 3 in 2024**

Year	pH (standard units, s.u.)		Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	
	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom
2024	8.02	6.54	23.8	24.3

### 4.3. Phosphorus

Total phosphorus represents the sum of all forms of phosphorus. Total phosphorus includes dissolved and particulate organic phosphates (e.g., algae and other aquatic organisms), inorganic particulate phosphorus as soil particles and other solids, polyphosphates from detergents and dissolved orthophosphates. Soluble (or dissolved) orthophosphate (determined analytically as dissolved reactive phosphorus) is the phosphorus form that is most readily available for algal uptake. Soluble orthophosphate is usually reported as dissolved reactive phosphorus because laboratory analysis takes place under acid conditions and may result in the hydrolysis of some other phosphorus forms. Total phosphorus levels are strongly affected by the daily phosphorus loadings to a lake, while soluble orthophosphate levels are largely affected by algal consumption during the growing season.

Based on criteria established by Nurnberg (2001), a lake is classified as oligotrophic, mesotrophic, eutrophic, and hypereutrophic when surface total phosphorus concentrations are less than 0.010 mg/L as P, 0.010 to 0.030 mg/L as P, 0.031 to 0.100 mg/L as P, and greater than 0.100 mg/L as P, respectively.

#### Lake Wallenpaupack

The 2024 mean total phosphorus concentrations for surface and bottom waters were 0.023 mg/L and 0.055 mg/L as P, respectively at Station 3 (Table 4.2). The higher concentrations in the bottom waters are likely due to the settling of dead algae and the release of phosphorus from anoxic sediments (sediments containing no dissolved oxygen). Based upon the above criteria, the mean total phosphorus concentrations for surface waters suggest that Lake Wallenpaupack is

classified as moderately mesotrophic in 2024.

The 2024 mean dissolved reactive phosphorus concentrations for surface and bottom waters were 0.002 mg/L and 0.014 mg/L as P, respectively (Table 4.2). Low dissolved reactive phosphorus concentrations in the surface waters indicate that this form of phosphorus is rapidly used by phytoplankton as soon as it becomes available within the lake.

**Table 4.2 Mean Phosphorus Concentrations at Station 3 in 2024**

Year	Total Phosphorus (mg/L as P)		Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L as P)	
	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom
2024	0.023	0.055	0.002	0.014


Figures 4.3 and 4.4 illustrate total phosphorus concentrations for each study date at Station 3 surface and bottom, respectively. Due to anoxic conditions in bottom waters, total phosphorus concentrations increased up to August and stabilized through the September monitoring date, while the lake was still stratified. When the lake destratified (mixed) in October, phosphorus was distributed throughout the water column and total phosphorus concentration was reduced in the bottom waters as shown in Figure 4.4.

#### **4.4. Nitrogen**


Nitrogen compounds are also important for the growth and reproduction of phytoplankton and aquatic macrophytes. The common inorganic forms of nitrogen in water are nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), nitrite ( $\text{NO}_2^-$ ), and ammonia ( $\text{NH}_3$ ). In water, ammonia is present primarily as ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ) and undissociated ammonium hydroxide ( $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ ). Of these two forms, undissociated ammonium hydroxide is toxic and its toxicity increases as pH and water temperature increase. Overall, the most dominant form of inorganic nitrogen present in lakes depends largely on the dissolved oxygen concentrations. Nitrate is the form usually found in surface waters, while ammonia is only stable under anaerobic (low oxygen) conditions. Nitrite is an intermediate form of nitrogen, which is generally considered unstable. Nitrate and nitrite (referred to as total oxidized nitrogen) are often analyzed together and reported as  $\text{NO}_3 + \text{NO}_2\text{-N}$ , although nitrite concentrations are usually insignificant as noted previously. Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) concentrations include ammonia and organic nitrogen (both soluble and particulate forms).

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024**  
**Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**

---



**Figure 4.3 Total Phosphorus Concentrations at Station 3 Surface in 2024**



**Figure 4.4 Total Phosphorus Concentrations at Station 3 Bottom in 2024**

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024  
Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**

---

Organic nitrogen can be easily estimated by subtracting ammonia nitrogen from total Kjeldahl nitrogen concentrations. Total nitrogen is calculated by summing the nitrate-nitrite, ammonia, and organic nitrogen fractions together.

According to Nurnberg (2001), lakes with surface total nitrogen concentrations less than 0.350 mg/L as N are classified as oligotrophic, from 0.350 to 0.650 mg/L as N are classified as mesotrophic, from 0.651 to 1.200 mg/L are classified as eutrophic, and greater than 1.200 mg/L as N are classified as hypereutrophic.

Lake Wallenpaupack

The 2024 mean total nitrogen, total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), nitrate plus nitrite nitrogen, and ammonia nitrogen concentrations for surface and bottom waters are presented in Table 4.3. Overall, the 2024 mean total nitrogen concentration for the bottom waters was significantly higher than the mean concentration for the surface waters. This higher value in the bottom waters is attributed to higher levels of ammonia nitrogen, nitrate plus nitrite nitrogen, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen. Higher ammonia concentrations in the bottom waters are due to low dissolved oxygen concentrations plus the accelerated release rates by anoxic sediments (sediments containing no dissolved oxygen).

Based upon the Nurnberg criteria (2001), the mean total nitrogen concentrations for surface waters are at a low level and thereby suggesting that Lake Wallenpaupack was classified as highly oligotrophic and close to mesotrophic in 2024. For individual study dates, the lake was classified as mesotrophic July and August, and eutrophic in August 2024 (Figure 4.5).


**Table 4.3 Mean Nitrogen Concentrations at Station 3 in 2024**

Year	Total Nitrogen (mg/L as N)		Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L as N)		Nitrate + Nitrite (mg/L as N)		Ammonia (mg/L as N)	
	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom
2024	0.326	0.667	0.272	0.527	0.054	0.141	0.037	0.180


Figures 4.5 and 4.6 illustrate total nitrogen concentrations for each study date at Station 3 surface and bottom, respectively. Similar to phosphorus, due to anoxic conditions in bottom waters, total nitrogen concentrations increased up to the September monitoring date, while the lake was still stratified. When the lake destratified (mixed) in October, nitrogen was distributed throughout the water column and total nitrogen concentration was reduced in the bottom waters as shown in Figure 4.6.

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024**  
**Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**

---



**Figure 4.5 Total Nitrogen Concentrations at Station 3 Surface in 2024**



**Figure 4.6 Total Nitrogen Concentrations at Station 3 Bottom in 2024**

## **4.5. Secchi Disk Transparency & Chlorophyll-a**

The transparency, or clarity, of a lake is most often reported as the Secchi disk depth. This measurement is taken by lowering a circular black-and-white disk, which is 20 cm (8 inches) in diameter, into the water until it is no longer visible. Observed Secchi disk depths range from a few centimeters in very turbid lakes to over 40 meters in the clearest known lakes (Wetzel, 1983). Although somewhat simplistic and subjective, this field monitoring method probably best represents those lake conditions that are most often perceived by lake users and the general public.

Secchi disk transparency is related to the transmission of light in water, and depends on both the absorption and scattering of light. The absorption of light in dark-colored waters reduces light transmission. Light scattering is usually a more important factor than absorption in determining Secchi depths. Scattering can be caused by water discoloration or by the presence of both particulate organic matter (e.g., algal cells) and inorganic materials (e.g., suspended clay particles).

In general, a lake is classified as oligotrophic, mesotrophic, eutrophic, and hypereutrophic when Secchi disk transparency values are greater than 4.0 meters, 4.0 to 2.0 meters, 1.9 to 1.0 meters, and less than 1.0 meter, respectively (Nurnberg 2001).

Chlorophyll-a is a pigment that gives all plants their green color. The function of chlorophyll-a is to convert sunlight to chemical energy in the process known as photosynthesis. Because chlorophyll-a constitutes about 1 to 2 percent of the dry weight of planktonic algae, the amount of chlorophyll-a in a water sample is an indicator of phytoplankton biomass. According to Nurnberg (2001), a lake is generally classified oligotrophic, mesotrophic, eutrophic and hypereutrophic when chlorophyll-a concentrations are less than 3.5 ug/L, 3.5 to 9.0 ug/L, 9.1 to 25.0 ug/L, and greater than 25.0 ug/L (micrograms per liter), respectively.

### Lake Wallenpaupack

The 2024 mean Secchi disk transparency value at Station 3 for Lake Wallenpaupack was 1.78 meters (5.8 feet) as shown in Table 4.4. Secchi disk transparency values ranged from 1.1 to 3.0 meters (3.6 to 9.8 feet) for all study dates. Based upon Nurnberg (2001), the lake is classified as slightly eutrophic. Secchi disk values in August, September, and October were indicative of highly eutrophic lake conditions.

It should be noted that the lowest Secchi disk transparencies for the lake generally occurred when observed blue-green algal levels were at their highest. Similar to observations made in recent years, higher phytoplankton concentrations comprised largely of blue-green algae were often observed at the surface, causing observed algae to be blown to shoreline areas. Also, many smaller, individual blooms were simply localized in areas where conditions were more favorable


for reproduction.

Figure 4.7 depicts transparency for each study date at Station 3 during the 2024 study period. Generally, transparency values declined from May through August, remained fairly consistent through September, and improved only very slightly in October, after the lake mixed.


**Table 4.4 Mean Secchi & Chlorophyll-a Values at Station 3 in 2024**

Year	Secchi Disk Transparency (m)	Chlorophyll-a (ug/L)
2024	1.78	10.62

The 2024 mean chlorophyll-a concentration at Station 3 in Lake Wallenpaupack was 10.62 ug/L (Table 4.4). Chlorophyll-a concentrations ranged from 3.4 ug/L to 23.0 ug/L during the study period. According to the Nurnberg criteria, the mean chlorophyll-a concentration indicates slightly eutrophic conditions.



**Figure 4.7 Secchi Disk Depths at Station 3 in 2024**



**Figure 4.8 Chlorophyll-a Concentrations at Station 3 Surface in 2024**

Figure 4.8 portrays chlorophyll-a concentrations for each study date at Station 3 during the 2024 study period. Chlorophyll-a concentrations fluctuated significantly throughout the study period. Typically, chlorophyll-a concentrations correspond closely with total plankton biomass and transparency. However, this was not always the case in 2024. This was most evident in August. During the August sampling date, the transparency was poor to fair, total phytoplankton was at a moderately high level, yet the chlorophyll-a concentration was at a low level.

#### **4.6. Total Suspended Solids**

The concentration of total suspended solids in a lake is a measure of the amount of particulate matter in the water column. Suspended solids include both organic matter, including phytoplankton, and inorganic materials like soil particles.

##### Lake Wallenpaupack

The 2024 mean total suspended solids concentrations for surface and bottom waters are presented in Table 4.5. The concentrations for surface and bottom waters are considered low to moderately low. These concentrations are typical for lake systems containing moderately low to

moderate levels of aquatic productivity in the form of phytoplankton and/or sediment loadings from the surrounding watershed.

**Table 4.5 Mean Total Suspended Solids Concentrations at Station 3 in 2024**

Year	Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	Surface	Bottom
2024	2.7	4.5

---

## **4.7. Phytoplankton & Zooplankton Biomass**

The quantity of phytoplankton (free floating, microscopic aquatic plants commonly referred to as algae) and macrophytes (vascular aquatic plants) are primary biological indicators of lake trophic conditions. Small aquatic animals, namely zooplankton and macroinvertebrates, graze upon algae and fragments of aquatic plants. Larger invertebrates and fish then consume the above grazers and to a lesser extent, some aquatic plants.

Information about the plankton community composition and succession is extremely useful when attempting to gain a better understanding about various lake problems. For example, eutrophic lakes often support unbalanced phytoplankton communities characterized by very large numbers of relatively few species. The number of larger zooplankton will tend to decrease during periods when blue-green algae are dominant. Conversely, oligotrophic lakes and acidic lakes often have smaller populations of both phytoplankton and zooplankton. Acidic lakes typically will also have lower species diversity.

### **4.7.1. Phytoplankton**

Phytoplankton are free floating, microscopic aquatic organisms that have little or no resistance to currents and live suspended in open water. Their forms may be unicellular, colonial, or filamentous. As photosynthetic organisms (primary producers), phytoplankton form the base of aquatic food chain and are grazed upon by zooplankton and herbivorous fish.

A healthy lake should support a diverse assemblage of phytoplankton, in which many algal species are represented. Excessive growth of a few species is usually undesirable. Such growth

can result in dissolved oxygen depletion during the night, when the algae are respiring rather than photosynthesizing. Dissolved oxygen depletion also can occur shortly after a massive “algal bloom” due to increased levels of respiration by bacteria and other microorganisms that are metabolizing dead algal cells. Excessive growth of some species of algae, particularly members of the blue-green group, may cause taste and odor problems, release toxic substances to the water, or give the water an unattractive green soupy or scummy appearance.

Planktonic productivity is commonly expressed in terms of density and biomass. Phytoplankton densities are most frequently expressed as cells per milliliter (cells/mL). Biomass is commonly expressed on a mass per volume basis as micrograms per liter ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). Of the two, biomass provides a better estimate of the actual standing crop of phytoplankton in lake systems.

It should be noted that the nomenclature of phytoplankton taxonomy (i.e. scientific classification) has experienced some minor revisions. This is a regular occurrence in the scientific community; consequently, our scientists strive to stay up to date with this ever-changing system. The most notable change regards the genus *Anabaena* in the phylum Cyanophyta. *Anabaena* (Cyanophyta) has been the accepted name of this taxa for countless years. However, a change has occurred and now the genus *Anabaena* (Cyanophyta) is known as *Dolichospermum* (Cyanophyta).

#### Lake Wallenpaupack

The phytoplankton community in 2024 was represented by genera from seven different taxa: Bacillariophyta (diatoms), Chlorophyta (green algae), Chrysophyta (golden-brown algae), Cryptophyta (cryptomonads), Cyanophyta (blue-green algae), Euglenophyta (euglenoids), and Pyrrophyta (fire algae). The phytoplankton biomasses in Lake Wallenpaupack ranged from 1,756  $\mu\text{g/L}$  (micrograms per liter) in to 7,850  $\mu\text{g/L}$  for 2024, as shown in Figure 4.9. The highest phytoplankton biomass value was reported in September of 2024. In general, phytoplankton biomass below 2,500  $\mu\text{g/L}$  are considered low, ranging from 2,500 to 7,500  $\mu\text{g/L}$  are moderately low to moderately high, ranging from 7,500 to 10,000  $\mu\text{g/L}$  are high and above 10,000 are considered very high. Biomasses often exceeding 5,000  $\mu\text{g/L}$  are perceived by many as “algal bloom” conditions.

On the May study date, total phytoplankton biomass was at a moderate to moderately high level and was dominated by *Dinobryon* (Chrysophyta), followed by *Kephyrion* and *Pseudokephyrion* (Chrysophyta), and distantly followed by *Aphanizomenon* (Cyanophyta) as shown in Figure 4.9. A sharp decline in total biomass to a low level in mid-June of 2024 was observed when *Dolichospermum* (Cyanophyta) became dominant followed closely by *Aphanizomenon* (Cyanophyta). An increase in total biomass occurred in July to a moderately low level due to mostly increased blue-green algae biomass. More specifically, *Dolichospermum* remained dominant followed by *Aphanizomenon*. In August, a more significant increase in total biomass occurred to reach a moderately high level, comprised heavily with blue-green algae

biomass when *Dolichospermum* became largely dominant followed distantly by *Aphanizomenon*. A moderate increase in total phytoplankton biomass was observed in September to a high level when *Dolichospermum* remained largely dominant followed distantly by *Aphanizomenon*. In mid-October, a significant reduction in total biomass to a moderately low to moderate level occurred when *Dolichospermum* remained dominant followed by *Aphanizomenon*. As previously mentioned, biomass values for 2024, ranged from 1,756 ug/L (micrograms per liter) to 7,850 ug/L (Figure 4.9). Overall, the phytoplankton assemblages were dominated by Cyanophyta from mid-June through mid-October. As a result, the phytoplankton assemblages were considered poorly to fairly distributed among other taxa during the 2024 study period.

#### **4.7.2. Zooplankton**

Zooplankton are suspended microscopic organisms whose movements in a lake are primarily dependent upon water currents. The zooplankton of freshwater ecosystems are dominated primarily by four major groups: the protozoa, the rotifers, and two subclasses of crustacea, the cladocerans (i.e., water fleas) and the copepods. Zooplankton are generally smaller than 2 millimeters (one-tenth of an inch) in size and primarily feed on algae, other zooplankton, and plant and animal particles. Zooplankton grazing can have a significant impact on phytoplankton species composition and productivity (i.e. biomass) through selective grazing (e.g., size of zooplankton influences what size phytoplankton are consumed) and nutrient recycling. Zooplankton are then consumed by fish, waterfowl, aquatic insects, and others, thereby playing a vital role in the transfer of energy from phytoplankton to higher trophic levels.


##### Lake Wallenpaupack

Zooplankton communities in 2024 were represented by genera from four different taxa: Protozoa (protozoans), Rotifera (rotifers), Copepoda (crustacean), and Cladoceran (crustacean).


Overall, zooplankton biomass values between May through October of 2024, increased slightly from 2023, but continued to be lower than past years. The reason for this decrease in zooplankton biomass is largely unknown and may be attributed to one or more factors. Several plausible explanations for a lower level in zooplankton biomass are the occurrence of less favorable environmental conditions, lower availability of palatable phytoplankton (more blue-green algae), and potentially more grazing by plankton eating fish (planktivores like shiners, minnows and juvenile gamefish) and aquatic insects in 2024.

Despite the lower total biomass observed, zooplankton biomass values were considered fairly well distributed among the taxa during the 2024 growing season as shown in Figure 4.10. Copepods were most dominant taxa in all months from May through October with the exception of mid-June, when Cladocerans were most dominant and mid-October, when Rotifers were most dominant in 2024.

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024  
Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**



**Figure 4.9 Phytoplankton Biomass at Station 3 in 2024**



**Figure 4.10 Zooplankton Biomass at Station 3 in 2024**

## **4.8. Carlson’s Trophic State Index Values**

The Trophic State Index (TSI) developed by Carlson (1977) is among the most commonly used indicators of lake trophic state. This index is actually composed of three separate indices based on measurements of Secchi disk depths, chlorophyll-a concentrations, and total phosphorus concentrations for many lakes. Secchi disk depth is a common measure of lake transparency. Chlorophyll-a is a plant pigment present in all algae and is used to provide an indication of the biomass of phytoplankton. Total phosphorus was chosen for the index because phosphorus is often the nutrient limiting for phytoplanktonic growth in lakes.

As part of this study, TSI values were determined for Secchi disk depth, chlorophyll-a data, and total phosphorus data for each of the study dates. Secchi disk depths, chlorophyll-a concentrations, and total phosphorus concentrations were logarithmically converted to a trophic state scale ranging from 1 to 100. Increasing values for the Trophic State Index are indicative of increasing lake trophic states.

In general, trophic state index values less than 40 are indicative of oligotrophic conditions, while index values ranging from 50 to 65 are indicative of eutrophic lake conditions. The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) classifies lakes according to the following: oligotrophic (less than 40), mesotrophic (40 to 50), eutrophic (50 to 65), and hyper-eutrophic (greater than 65) as noted in its 2002 PA Water Quality Assessment 305(b) Report.

### Lake Wallenpaupack

The calculated 2024 mean Carlson TSI values for Secchi depth, chlorophyll-a, and total phosphorus are presented in Table 4.6. The Secchi depth transparency value suggests slightly eutrophic conditions, the chlorophyll-a TSI value suggests slightly to moderately eutrophic conditions, and the total phosphorus TSI value suggests borderline highly mesotrophic to slightly eutrophic conditions. Based upon the above, Lake Wallenpaupack was best classified as a slightly eutrophic reservoir in 2024.

**Table 4.6 Mean Carlson’s TSI Values at Station 3 in 2024**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Trophic State Index (TSI) Values</b>		
	<b>Secchi Depth</b>	<b>Chl-a</b>	<b>Total P</b>
2024	52	54	50

Note: Mean TSI values determined by averaging the individual TSI values for each parameter during the 2024 study period.

## **4.9. Summary of Lake Assessment Data**

Overall, based upon the 2024 data, Lake Wallenpaupack is best classified as a slightly eutrophic reservoir in 2024. The mean Carlson TSI values for Secchi disk transparency, chlorophyll-a, and total phosphorus were 52, 54, and 50, respectively, for 2024. The Secchi depth transparency value suggests slightly eutrophic conditions, the chlorophyll-a TSI value suggests slightly to moderately eutrophic conditions, and the total phosphorus TSI value suggests borderline highly mesotrophic to slightly eutrophic conditions.

The lake thermally stratified in 2024 and therefore is considered a moderately deep, dimictic reservoir (lake). Dimictic lakes are those lakes that thermally stratify during most of the growing season (May through September for 2024). In this study, Lake Wallenpaupack was most thermally stratified from mid-June through mid-August. In turn, the dissolved oxygen concentrations were strongly stratified when the lake was thermally stratified. These rapid drops in dissolved oxygen occurred within deeper lake waters (hypolimnion), most evidently in July and August of 2024.

The lake was strongly, thermally stratified between June through August, moderately stratified in May and September, and destratified on the October date in 2024. The thermocline, which is the point where the temperature change is the greatest, divides the epilimnion (surface waters) and the hypolimnion (bottom waters), was located at a depth of approximately 6 to 9 meters (19.7 to 29.5 feet) during the period of mid-July through mid-August, when the lake was most thermally stratified.

During thermal stratification in Lake Wallenpaupack, once again, extremely low dissolved oxygen levels in deeper lake waters (hypolimnion) appear to be promoting accelerated release rates of phosphorus via anoxic in-lake sediments. This phenomenon is referred to as internal loading or internal phosphorus loading and the net result is more available phosphorus for phytoplankton (planktonic algae) growth throughout the entire lake.

Overall, the phytoplankton assemblages were dominated by blue-green algae (Cyanophyta) during much of the growing season, from mid-June through mid-October. As a result, the phytoplankton assemblages were considered poorly to fairly distributed among other taxa during the 2024 study period. Of the blue green algae, the most common genera were *Dolichospermum*, formerly known as *Anabaena*, and, to a lesser degree, *Aphanizomenon*. In general, more diverse assemblages were observed when Cyanophyta were less dominant.

Although zooplankton biomass values increased slightly between May through October of 2024 when compared to 2023, zooplankton biomass values continued to be lower than past years. Despite the lower total biomass observed, zooplankton biomass values were considered

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024**  
**Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**

---

fairly well distributed among the taxa during the 2024 growing season. Copepods were most dominant taxa in all months from May through October with the exception of mid-June, when Cladocerans were most dominant and mid-October, when Rotifers were most dominant in 2024.

## **5. Historical Lake Water Quality Trends**

Aqua Link evaluated historical water quality data collected in Lake Wallenpaupack from 1980 through 2024. Key water quality parameters that are discussed in Sections 5.1 through 5.6 are total phosphorus, total nitrogen, Secchi disk transparency, chlorophyll-a, plankton biomass (phytoplankton and zooplankton), and Carlson Trophic State Index (TSI) values. Total phosphorus and total nitrogen data are presented for surface (epilimnion) and bottom (hypolimnion) waters.

The comparison of recently acquired data to past data is commonly referred to as “water quality trend analysis”. Professional lake and water resource managers commonly evaluate complex historical water quality data using trend analysis. Overall, water quality trend analysis is a very powerful tool in assessing whether lake water quality has improved, degraded, or remained unchanged over time.

Lake water quality trends for the key water quality parameters are presented below graphically for Station 3. Station 3 is centrally located within the lake and has historically been used to describe the overall water quality of Lake Wallenpaupack (Section 4). The graphs contain annual mean (average) concentrations and values for the key water quality parameters for the growing season (May through October). As observed in Figures 5.1 through 5.9, lake water quality often varies seasonally and annually and these variations can be affected by numerous factors including local climatological conditions. To assess any water quality trends, “best fit” lines were determined statistically using linear regression and these lines were plotted on Figures 5.1 through 5.9. The slopes of these regression lines were used to assess the overall degree of water quality improvement or degradation in the lake.


### **5.1. Phosphorus**

The mean total phosphorus concentrations from 1980 through 2024 for surface and bottom waters are shown in Figures 5.1 and 5.2, respectively. The total phosphorus levels in the surface waters increased slightly and the bottom waters decreased moderately in 2024 over the 2023 mean values. In terms of trends, Figures 5.1 and 5.2 indicate that total phosphorus concentrations have only slightly decreased in the surface waters, but moderately decreased in the bottom waters since 1980.


### **5.2. Nitrogen**

The mean total nitrogen concentrations from 1980 through 2024 for surface and bottom waters are shown in Figures 5.3 and 5.4, respectively. The total nitrogen levels in surface and bottom waters decreased substantially in 2024 over the 2023 mean values. These fluctuations for both surface waters and bottom waters are significant, but are still somewhat typical from year to

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024  
Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**




**Figure 5.1 Historical Total Phosphorus Concentrations in Surface Waters**




**Figure 5.2 Historical Total Phosphorus Concentrations in Bottom Waters**

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024  
Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**



**Figure 5.3 Historical Total Nitrogen Concentrations in Surface Waters**



**Figure 5.4 Historical Total Nitrogen Concentrations in Bottom Waters**

### **5.3. Secchi Transparency**

The mean Secchi disk transparency from 1980 through 2024 is shown in Figure 5.5. The mean Secchi depth declined slightly from 2023 to 2024. In terms of trends, Figure 5.5 indicates that lake transparency has improved slightly since 1980.

### **5.4. Chlorophyll-a**

The mean chlorophyll-a concentration from 1980 through 2024 are shown in Figure 5.6. The mean chlorophyll-a concentration in 2024 decreased moderately from the concentration observed in 2023. This decrease was typical of season-to-season fluctuation. In terms of trends, Figure 5.6 shows that chlorophyll-a concentration has moderately increased since 1980.


### **5.5. Phytoplankton & Zooplankton Biomass**

The mean phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass values are historically illustrated in Figures 5.7 and 5.8, respectively. Phytoplankton values decreased slightly and zooplankton values increased slightly in 2024 when compared to 2023 mean biomass values. Overall, Figures 5.7 and 5.8 show that phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass values have fluctuated widely throughout the timeframe indicated. In terms of trends, the plotted regression lines suggest that phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass have increased very slightly and decreased moderately, respectively.


Since 2010, total phytoplankton biomass has been relatively consistent with most mean values fluctuating near, but below 5,000 ug/L. A slight decrease in mean phytoplankton biomass was observed when compared to a mean value of 5,363 ug/L in 2023 to a level lower than the 5,000 ug/L concentration with a mean value of 4,801 ug/L in 2024.

Although lower mean phytoplankton biomass was observed in 2024, zooplankton biomass increased slightly, but remained at a relatively low level. Continued lower mean zooplankton biomass, as observed again in 2024, may be related increased Cyanophyta concentrations in relation to total phytoplankton observed in more recent years. Since Cyanophyta are typically less palatable than other forms of phytoplankton, less consumable phytoplankton was available for zooplankton to graze upon, thereby keeping zooplankton populations at a relatively low level.

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024  
Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**




**Figure 5.5 Historical Secchi Disk Transparency**




**Figure 5.6 Historical Chlorophyll-a Concentrations**

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024**  
**Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**



**Figure 5.7 Historical Phytoplankton Biomass**




**Figure 5.8 Historical Zooplankton Biomass**

It is interesting to note that blue-green algal biomass (Cyanophyta) has decreased slightly historically when compared to a very slightly increasing total phytoplankton biomass (Figure 5.7). Lower blue-green dominance may be related to decreases in total phosphorus concentrations (Figures 5.1 and 5.2) and slightly more stable total nitrogen concentrations observed in the bottom waters historically (Figures 5.3 and 5.4). In general, higher nitrogen to phosphorus concentration ratios in lakes often favor green (Chlorophyta) over blue-green algae (Cyanophyta) resulting in more species diversity and improved water clarity and aesthetics. However, in 2024, Cyanophyta dominated the phytoplankton assemblage for the majority of the study period. Environmental conditions such as higher than average temperatures and above average precipitation may have influenced the overall phytoplankton assemblages to be more dominated by blue-green algae, which, in turn may have kept zooplankton populations relatively low in recent years, including 2024.

### 5.6. Carlson Trophic State Index Values

The mean Carlson Trophic State Index (TSI) values for Secchi disk transparency, chlorophyll-a concentrations, and total phosphorus concentrations from 1980 through 2024 are shown in Figure 5.9. As expected, the total phosphorus and Secchi transparency have gradually improved, while chlorophyll-a has increased moderately over the past 45 years.



**Figure 5.9 Historical Carlson’s TSI Values**

## **5.7. Summary of Historical Lake Data**

In terms of trend analysis, the water quality of Lake Wallenpaupack has generally improved since 1980. Total phosphorus concentrations in surface and bottom waters and Secchi transparency (water clarity) have gradually improved over the past 45 years. Total nitrogen concentrations in surface waters have fluctuated and decreased moderately while, total nitrogen concentrations in bottom waters have greatly fluctuated and have increased slightly. Chlorophyll-a concentrations, as a trend, have increased moderately over time.

In terms of water clarity, the poorest mean (average) Secchi disk transparency values were reported in the early 1980's. The lowest annual mean Secchi disk transparency value of 1.3 meters (4.3 feet) was recorded in 1980. The water clarity generally continued to improve into the mid-2000's. With the exception of 1998 and 2002, the Secchi disk transparency values improved and some annual mean values were equal to or exceeded 2.5 meters (8.2 feet). Annual mean Secchi disk values in 1998 and 2002 approached the value reported in 1980. Thereafter, mean Secchi disk transparency values only fluctuated marginally from approximately 2004 to 2023, with a slight decline in transparency observed in 2024, when the individual study date values were reported between 1.1 and 3.0 meters (3.6 to 9.8 feet) at Station 3.

Phytoplankton and zooplankton biomasses have fluctuated widely over the study period, but the overall trends have been that phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass have increased very slightly and decreased moderately, respectively. Since 1984, total mean (average) phytoplankton biomass has generally remained below or slightly above 5,000 ug/L. Mean annual phytoplankton biomass levels exceeded 7,500 ug/L a total of seven times during the entire study period. The highest phytoplankton biomass levels were reported during 1997-1999. The highest phytoplankton biomass concentration was recorded in 1998 where the annual mean value exceeded 30,000 ug/L.

Since 1988, annual mean zooplankton biomass levels have sometimes greatly fluctuated, but as a trend, the mean values have moderately decreased over the study period. The highest annual mean zooplankton biomass values were reported in 1992 and 1993 where values exceeded 160 ug/L. In more recent years, the annual mean zooplankton biomass levels have stabilized where values are generally between 20 to 40 ug/L since 2017.

## **6. Conclusions and Recommendations**

The overall water quality of Lake Wallenpaupack was considered fair to good with respect to trophic state and its overall appearance in 2024. The 2024 study year was considered very warm with slightly above average precipitation. Over a 45-year period (1980-2024), study year 2024 was tied for the second highest mean air temperature reported for the growing season (May – October). In terms of trophic state, Lake Wallenpaupack was best classified as a slightly eutrophic reservoir in 2024. The mean Carlson TSI (Trophic State Index) values for Secchi disk transparency, chlorophyll-a, and total phosphorus were 52, 54, and 50, respectively, for 2024.

The lake was strongly, thermally stratified between June through August, moderately stratified in May and September, and destratified on the October date in 2024. In turn, dissolved oxygen levels rapidly decreased within deeper lake waters (hypolimnion), most evidently in July and August of 2024. The thermocline, which is the point where the temperature change is the greatest, divides the epilimnion (surface waters) and the hypolimnion (bottom waters), was located at a depth of approximately 6 to 9 meters (19.7 to 29.5 feet) during the period of mid-July through mid-August, when the lake was most thermally stratified. In mid-October, the lake mixed and eventually became thermally destratified. This process is commonly referred to as the fall turnover period in lakes.

During summer thermal stratification, low dissolved oxygen concentrations in deeper lake waters in Lake Wallenpaupack continue to allow for the internal release of nutrients (phosphorus and nitrogen) via anoxic in-lake sediments. In turn, these nutrients are allowed to buildup in the deeper lake waters until the lake waters mix during the fall turnover period. Sequentially, these released nutrients can be used by algae for additional growth and reproduction.

The overall water clarity was quite good in May and declined progressively through August. Thereafter, visibility remained fairly consistent through September, only to improve very slightly in October. In some cases, lake water clarity (transparency) was well correlated with the amounts of phytoplankton (algae) in Lake Wallenpaupack. This was most evident in August and September when both Secchi disk values were low and phytoplankton biomass values were at moderately high to high levels. Total phytoplankton biomass was moderate to moderately high in May, low in June, moderately low in July, moderately high in August, high in September, and low to moderately low in October. Total phytoplankton biomass fluctuated significantly throughout the season from May through October. From May through June, the lake had a healthier looking brownish tint. Starting in July and continuing through October, the lake took on a greenish appearance, when the phylum Cyanophyta (blue-green algae) had higher biomass.

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024  
Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**

---

Phytoplankton data indicate that blue-green algae (Cyanophyta) were dominant during much of the growing season, from June through October in 2024. Of the blue green algae, the most common genera were *Dolichospermum*, formerly known as *Anabaena*, and, to a lesser degree, *Aphanizomenon*. In general, more diverse assemblages were observed when Cyanophyta were less dominant. Overall, zooplankton biomass values between May through October of 2024 continued to be lower than past years. Despite the lower total biomass observed, zooplankton biomass values were considered fairly well distributed among the taxa during the 2024 growing season.

Based upon trend analysis, the water quality of Lake Wallenpaupack has generally improved since 1980 even though there appears to be a significant annual warming trend in Northeastern Pennsylvania. Total phosphorus concentrations in surface and bottom waters and Secchi transparency (water clarity) have gradually improved over the past 45 years. Total nitrogen concentrations in surface waters have fluctuated and decreased moderately since sampling began. In contrast, total nitrogen concentrations in bottom waters have greatly fluctuated throughout the years and have increased slightly as a trend. Chlorophyll-a concentrations have increased moderately over time. Phytoplankton and zooplankton biomasses have fluctuated widely over the study period, but the overall trends have been that phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass have increased very slightly and decreased moderately, respectively.

Since 2010, total phytoplankton biomass has been relatively consistent with most mean values fluctuating near, but below 5,000 ug/L. A slight decrease in mean phytoplankton biomass was observed when compared to a mean value of 5,363 ug/L in 2023 to a level lower than the 5,000 ug/L concentration with a mean value of 4,801 ug/L in 2024. Although lower mean phytoplankton biomass was observed in 2024, zooplankton biomass increased slightly, but remained at a relatively low level. Continued lower mean zooplankton biomass, as observed again in 2024, may be related increased Cyanophyta concentrations in relation to total phytoplankton observed in more recent years. Since Cyanophyta are typically less palatable than other forms of phytoplankton, less consumable phytoplankton was available for zooplankton to graze upon, thereby keeping zooplankton populations at a relatively low level.

Overall, mean annual zooplankton biomass values typically fall between 20 and 40 ug/L since 2010. Sometimes the zooplankton biomass can fluctuate widely as they did in 2012 and 2016. The annual mean zooplankton biomass values approached 80 and exceeded 120 ug/L in 2012 and 2016, respectively. The mean zooplankton biomass observed in 2024 was 33 ug/L, which is relatively low compared to some years, but similar to and typical for levels of mean annual zooplankton biomass values observed since 1988.

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024  
Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**

---

Based upon these conclusions, Aqua Link offers the following recommendations to the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD):

1. The District and Aqua Link should continue to monitor lake water quality from May through October in 2025. All newly acquired lake water quality data should be entered into the existing historical lake water quality database and analyzed in terms of lake water quality trends by Aqua Link.
2. The District should consider implementing a stream water quality monitoring program in 2025. For this task, significant tributaries to the lake should be monitored for nutrients (various forms of nitrogen and phosphorus) during both baseflow (normal flow) and stormflow conditions. This program will enable the District to gain a better understanding of current nutrient stream loadings via inflowing streams and how these loadings may be related to both the spatial and temporal occurrences of potentially harmful algal blooms (HABs) in the lake.
3. In-lake best management practices to reduce the internal release of phosphorus from anoxic lake sediments into overlying lake waters should be further evaluated for future implementation. Longterm lake monitoring data show that high amounts of phosphorus are released annually by in-lake sediments. This occurs when deeper lake waters (hypolimnion) contain very low concentrations of dissolved oxygen during the summer months. This phenomenon, referred to as internal phosphorus loading, can severely impact lake water quality and aesthetics by promoting the occurrence and severity of blue-green algal blooms. Blue-green algal blooms (cyanobacteria), also called Harmful Algal Blooms (HABS), have the potential to produce cyanotoxins, which in turn, can adversely impact the health of aquatic life, pets, livestock, and humans.

For this recommendation, a feasibility study should be performed to evaluate the potential for applying phosphorus sequestering agents to the lake such as, EutroSorb or Phoslock. Both of these products contain lanthanum which can be applied to target the release of phosphorus via anoxic in-lake sediments. Lanthanum forms a permanent bond with phosphorus, thereby making this nutrient unavailable for algae growth.

This feasibility study would require the collection and analysis of lake sediment samples. Sediment data along with the existing LWWMD lake water quality data and an existing bathymetric map of the lake would be used to calculate the dosing rates of EutroSorb or Phoslock to the lake.

4. Aqua Link is recommending that additional lake data analysis be performed in the winter of 2025-26. First, the historical database should be used to assess whether annual lake water temperatures are rising and impacting the overall levels of primary production (algal growth) in Lake Wallenpaupack. Rising lake water temperatures, as a result of climate change, may be related to observed increases in chlorophyll-a concentrations. In general, blue-green algae (Cyanophyta), which often are problematic in lakes, tend to become most dominant in warmer, nutrient-enriched waters.

Secondly, the historical database should be used to determine total nitrogen to total phosphorus ratios for all study dates. These ratios should be evaluated to see whether the lake is providing more favorable conditions for the growth of blue-green algae (cyanobacteria).

5. Monitoring of cyanotoxins caused by harmful algal blooms should begin in 2025. It is recommended to concentrate efforts on swimming areas, primarily, or other areas where there is the most physical human contact with the water. As more information is being discovered concerning harmful algal blooms, it is becoming evident that some toxins, even in very small amounts, can be toxic to even the healthiest individuals. Based on the results, swimming or other recreational advisories could be addressed once toxins reach a certain level.
6. An aquatic macrophyte (aquatic vascular plant) survey should be performed to identify what species of aquatic plants are present along with their overall abundance. This survey should also accurately delineate the location and relative abundance of any non-native, invasive aquatic plants that are found for later control and/or eradication. Many of these plants tend to be very aggressive and spread quickly by out-competing other native plant species. Controlling the spread of these aquatic plants can be very costly if not detected early. Areas such as boat ramps, other high boat traffic areas, and inlets should be looked at most thoroughly, as these are locations where invasive plants are often initially discovered in a lake.

Based upon this survey, an aquatic macrophytes map should be developed showing the locations and relative abundances of all major plant species found throughout the entire lake basin. This map should also include the locations where any non-native, invasive aquatic plants were found.

7. The District with the assistance of Aqua Link should develop invasive aquatic species monitoring and educational programs. Invasive species monitoring should also be performed for aquatic animals including zebra mussels, quagga mussels,

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024  
Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**

---

and others. Also, an educational program should be developed for the public regarding species identification and how to stop the introduction and spread of invasive species to and within the lake.

8. The District and Brookfield Renewable should consider lowering the lake water level in November as opposed to September, if possible. By doing so, it is plausible that the extent of algal blooms occurring in the fall (September and October) may be reduced. It is suspected that hypolimnetic (deep) water releases in September are allowing the lake to thermally destratify and mixing sooner than it would occur naturally – likely in mid to late November. In turn, this premature mixing allows for nutrient enriched, colder, deeper lake waters to mix with warmer, shallower surface lake waters. These additional nutrients may be sufficient to promote those blue-green algal blooms occurring in September and October.
9. The District and its watershed partners should continue to reduce both point source and nonpoint sources to the lake. This should be accomplished by implementing lake, watershed, and institutional best management practices throughout the 14 townships in the Lake Wallenpaupack watershed.

Many of our recommendations, as discussed above, will require a high level of expertise in the field of lake management. In some instances, our recommendations may require obtaining state permits prior to implementation. Aqua Link is a nationally recognized consulting firm specializing in pond and lake management and we are fully capable of implementing all of the recommendations offered in this report.

## **7. Literature Cited**

- Amand, A. S. and K. W. Wagner. 1999. Collection, Identification and Ecology of Freshwater Algae. 19<sup>th</sup> Annual Symposium for Lake and Reservoir Management. North American Lake Management Society. Madison, WI.
- Aqua Link, Inc. 2011. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2008-09 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).
- Aqua Link, Inc. 2012. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2010 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).
- Aqua Link, Inc. 2012. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2011 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).
- Aqua Link, Inc. 2013. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2012 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).
- Aqua Link, Inc. 2014. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2013 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).
- Aqua Link, Inc. 2015. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2014 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).
- Aqua Link, Inc. 2016. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2015 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).
- Aqua Link, Inc. 2017. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2016 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).
- Aqua Link, Inc. 2018. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2017 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).

**Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2024  
Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District**

---

Aqua Link, Inc. 2019. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2018 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).

Aqua Link, Inc. 2020. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2019 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).

Aqua Link, Inc. 2021. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2020 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).

Aqua Link, Inc. 2022. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2021 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).

Aqua Link, Inc. 2023. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2022 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).

Aqua Link, Inc. 2024. The Lake Wallenpaupack Water Quality Monitoring Program for 2023 Final Report. Prepared for the Lake Wallenpaupack Watershed Management District (LWWMD).

Carlson, R. E. 1977. A trophic state index for lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 22:361-369.

Carlson, R. E. 1980. International Symposium on Inland Waters and Lake Restoration. EPA 440/5/81/010.

Nurnberg, G. 2001. Eutrophication and Trophic State. *Lake Line*, Vol. 21, No. 1. North American Lake Management Society (NALMS), Madison, WI.

U.S. EPA. 1980. Clean lakes program guidance manual. Report No. EPA-440/5-81-003. U.S. EPA, Washington, D.C.

U.S. EPA. 1990. The Lake and Reservoir Restoration Guidance Manual, Second Edition. Report No. EPA-440/4-90-006. U.S. EPA, Washington, D.C.

U.S. EPA. 1993. Fish and Fisheries Management in Lakes and Reservoirs - Technical Supplement to Lake and Reservoir Restoration Guidance Manual. Report No. EPA-841-R-93-002. U.S. EPA, Washington, D.C.

## **APPENDIX A**

# **Glossary of Lake & Watershed Management Terms**

## Glossary

**Algae** - Mostly aquatic, non-vascular plants that float in the water or attach to larger plants, rocks, and other substrates. Also called phytoplankton, these individuals are usually visible only with a microscope. They are a normal and necessary component of aquatic life, but excessive numbers can make the water appear cloudy and colored.

**Alkalinity** - The acid-neutralizing capacity of water. It is primarily a function of the carbonate, bicarbonate, and hydroxide content in water. The lower the alkalinity, the less capacity the water has to absorb acids without becoming more acidic.

**Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>)** - A nitrogen-containing substance which may indicate recently decomposed plant or animal material.

**Benthos** - The communities of aquatic life which dwell in or on the bottom sediments of a water body.

**Chlorophyll** - Pigments (mostly green) in plants, including algae, that play an important part in the chemical reactions of photosynthesis. A measurement of chlorophyll-a (one type of chlorophyll) is commonly used as a measure of the algae content of water.

**Conductivity (Cond)** - A measure of water's capacity to convey an electric current. It is related to the total amount of dissolved charged substances in the water. Therefore, it can be used as a general indicator of the quality of the water and can also suggest presence of unidentified material in the water. It is often used as a surrogate for salinity measurements.

**Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO)** - Discharges of combined sewage and stormwater into water bodies during very wet or storm weather. These discharges occur to relieve the sewer system as it becomes overloaded with normal sewer flow and increased storm run-off. The term is also used to denote a pipe that discharges those overflows.

**Dissolved oxygen (DO)** - Oxygen that is dissolved in the water. Certain amounts are necessary for life processes of aquatic animals. The oxygen is supplied by the photosynthesis of plants, including algae, and by aeration. Oxygen is consumed by animals and plants at night, and bacterial decomposition of dead organic matter (plant matter and animal waste).

**Effluent** - Liquids discharged from sewage treatment plants, septic systems, or industrial sources to surface waters.

**Epilimnion** - The warmer, well-lit surface waters of a lake that are thermally separated from the colder (hence denser), water at the bottom of the lake when a lake is stratified.

**Eutrophication** - The acceleration of the loading of nutrients to a lake by natural or human-induced causes. The increased rate of delivery of nutrients results in increased production of algae and consequently, poor water transparency. Human-induced (cultural) eutrophication may be caused by input of treated sewage to a lake, deforestation of a watershed, or the urbanization of a watershed.

**Fecal Coliform Bacteria** - Bacteria from the intestines of warm-blooded animals. Most of the bacteria are not in themselves harmful, so they are measured or counted as an indicator of the possible presence of harmful bacteria.

**Groundwater** - Water stored beneath the surface of the earth. The water in the ground is supplied by the seepage of rainwater, snowmelt, and other surface water into the soil. Some groundwater may be found far beneath the earth surface, while other groundwater may be only a few inches from the surface. Groundwater discharges into lowland streams to maintain their baseflow.

**Hydrology** -The science dealing with the properties, distribution and circulation of water. The term usually refers to the flow of water on or below the land surface before reaching a stream or man-made structure.

**Hypolimnion** - The dark, cold, bottom waters of a lake that are thermally separated from the warmer (hence less dense) surface waters when a lake is stratified.

**Invertebrates** - Animals without internal skeletons. Some require magnification to be seen well, while others such as worms, insects, and crayfish are relatively large. Invertebrates living in stream and lake sediments are collected as samples to be identified and counted. In general, more varied invertebrate communities indicate healthier water bodies.

**Limiting nutrient** - The nutrient that is in lowest supply relative to the demand. The limiting nutrient will be exhausted first by algae which require many nutrients and light to grow. Inputs of the limiting nutrient will result in increased algal production, but as soon as the limiting nutrient is exhausted, growth stops. Phytoplankton growth in lake waters of temperate lowland areas is generally phosphorus limited.

**Limnology** - Scientific study of inland waters.

**Littoral zone** - portion of a water body extending from the shoreline lakeward to the greatest depth occupied by rooted plants.

**Loading rate** - Addition of a substance to a water body; or the rate at which the addition occurs. For example, streams load nutrients to lakes at various rates as in "500 kilograms per year (500 kg/yr)" or "227 pounds per year (227 lb/yr)."

**Macrophytes** - rooted and floating aquatic plants, larger (macro-) than the phytoplankton.

**Mesotrophic** - A condition of lakes that is characterized by moderate concentrations of nutrients, algae, and water transparency. A mesotrophic lake is not as rich in nutrients as a eutrophic lake, but richer in nutrients than an oligotrophic lake.

**Monomictic** - A lake which has one mixing and one stratification event per year. If a lake does not freeze over in the winter, the winter winds will mix the waters of the lake. In summer, the lake resists mixing and becomes stratified because the surface waters are warm (light) and the bottom waters are cold (dense). Deep lakes in the Puget lowlands are monomictic lakes.

**Nitrate, nitrite (NO<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>)** - Two types of nitrogen compounds. These nutrients are forms of nitrogen that algae may use for growth.

**Nitrogen** - One of the elements essential as a nutrient for growth of organisms.

**Non-point source pollution** - Pollution that originates from diffuse areas and unidentifiable sources, such as agriculture, the atmosphere, or ground water.

**Nutrients** - Elements or compounds essential for growth of organisms.

**Oligotrophic** - A condition of lakes characterized by low concentrations of nutrients and algae and resulting good water transparency. An oligotrophic lake has less nutrients than a mesotrophic or eutrophic lake.

**Pathogens** -Microorganisms that can cause disease in other organisms or humans, animals, and plants. Pathogens include bacteria, viruses, fungi, or parasites found in sewage, in runoff from farms or city streets, and in water used for swimming. Pathogens can be present in municipal, industrial, and nonpoint source discharges.

**Pelagic Zone** - Deep, open water area of a lake away from the edge of the littoral zone towards the center of the lake.

**pH** - Measure of the acidity of water on a scale of 0 to 14, with 7 representing neutral water. A pH less than 7 is considered acidic and above 7 is basic.

**Phosphorus** - One of the elements essential as a nutrient for the growth of organisms. In western Washington lakes, it is usually the algae nutrient in shortest supply relative to the needs of the algae. Phosphorus occurs naturally in soils, as well as in organic material. Various measures of phosphorus in water samples are made, including total-phosphorus (TP) and the dissolved portion of the phosphorus (orthophosphorus).

**Photic zone** - The lighted region of a lake where photosynthesis occurs.

**Phytoplankton** - Floating, mostly microscopic algae (plants) that live in water.

**Point-source Pollution** - An input of pollutants into a water body from discrete sources, such as municipal or industrial outfalls.

**Primary Treatment** - The first stage of wastewater treatment involving removal of debris and solids by screening and settling.

**Pump Station** -A structure used to move wastewater uphill, against gravity.

**Regulator** -A structure that controls the flow of wastewater from two or more input pipes to a single output. Regulators can be used to restrict or halt flow, thus causing wastewater to be stored in the conveyance system until it can be handled by the treatment plant.

**Salmonids** - Salmon, trout, char and whitefish species of fish.

**Secchi depth** - Measure of transparency of water obtained by lowering a 10 cm black and white disk into water until it is no longer visible.

**Secondary Treatment** - Following primary treatment, bacteria are used to consume organic wastes. Wastewater is then disinfected and discharged through an outfall.

**Separation** -A method for controlling combined sewer overflow whereby the combined sewer is separated into both a sanitary sewer and a storm drain, as is the practice in new development.

**Sewage** -That portion of wastewater that is composed of human and industrial wastes from homes, businesses, and industries.

**Standard** - A legally established allowable limit for a substance or characteristic in the water, based on criteria. Enforcement actions by the appropriate agencies can be taken against parties who cause violations.

**Stratification of lakes** - A layering effect produced by the warming of the surface waters in many lakes during summer. Upper waters are progressively warmed by the sun and the deeper waters remain cold. Because of the difference in density (warmer water is lighter), the two layers remain separate from each other: upper waters "float" on deeper waters and wind induced mixing occurs only in the upper waters. Oxygen in the bottom waters may become depleted. In autumn as the upper waters cool, the whole lake mixes again and remains mixed throughout the winter, or until it freezes over.

**Stormwater** -Water that is generated by rainfall and is often routed into drain systems.

**Thermocline** - Depth in a stratified lake where the greatest change in temperature occurs. Separates the epilimnion from the hypolimnion

**Total suspended solids (TSS)** - Particles, both mineral (clay and sand) and organic (algae and small pieces of decomposed plant and animal material), that are suspended in water.

**Toxic** -Causing death, disease, cancer, genetic mutations, or physical deformations in any organism or its offspring upon exposure, ingestion, inhalation, or assimilation.

**Transparency** - A measure of the clarity of water in a lake, which is measured by lowering a standard black and white Secchi disk into the water and recording the depth at which it is no longer visible. Transparency of lakes is determined by the color of the water and the amount of material suspended in it. Generally in colorless waters of the Puget lowland, the transparency of the water in summer is determined by the amount of algae present in the water. Suspended silt particles may also have an effect, particularly in wet weather.

**Trophic status** - Rating of the condition of a lake on the scale of oligotrophic-mesotrophic-eutrophic (see definition of these terms).

**Turbidity** - Cloudiness of water caused by the suspension of minute particles, usually algae, silt, or clay.

**Wastewater** -Total flow within the sewage system. In combined systems, it includes sewage and stormwater.

**Water Column** - Water in a lake between the surface and sediments. Used in vertical measurements used to characterize lake water.

**Watershed** - The areas that drain to surface water bodies, including lakes, rivers, estuaries, wetlands, streams, and the surrounding landscape.

**Water of Statewide Significance** - Legal term from the state Shoreline Management act, which recognizes particular bodies of water and sets criteria and standards for their protection.

**Zooplankton** - Small, free swimming or floating animals in water, many are microscopic.

## **APPENDIX B**

### **Primer on Lake Ecology & Watershed Concepts**

# Primer on Lake Ecology & Watershed Dynamics

*Prepared by:*

**Aqua Link, Inc.**

---

The water quality of a lake is often described as a reflection of its surrounding watershed. The term lake collectively refers to reservoirs (man-made impoundments), natural lake systems and smaller ponds (man-made or naturally created). Water from the surrounding watershed enters a lake as streamflow, surface runoff and groundwater. The water quality of these water sources is greatly influenced by the characteristics of the watershed such as, geology, soils, topography and land use. Of these characteristics, changes in land use (e.g., forested, agriculture, silviculture, residential, commercial, industrial) can significantly alter the water quality of lakes.

Nutrients (e.g., phosphorus, nitrogen, carbon, silicon, calcium, potassium, magnesium, sulfur, sodium, chloride, iron) are primarily transported to lakes via streamflow, surface runoff and groundwater, while sediments are mainly conveyed by streamflow and surface runoff. As streamflow and surface runoff enter a lake, their overall velocity decreases, which allow transported sediments to settle to the lake bottom. Many of these incoming nutrients may be bound to sediment particles and subsequently will also settle to the lake bottom. Very small sediment particles such as, clays, may resist sedimentation and subsequently pass through the lake without settling.

Once within the lake, water quality is further modified through a complex set of physical, chemical and biological processes. These processes are significantly affected by the lake's morphological characteristics (morphology). Some of the more important morphological characteristics of lakes are surface area, shape, depth, volume and bottom composition. In addition, the hydraulic residence time (i.e., the lake's flushing rate) also greatly affects these processes and is directly related to the lake's volume and the annual volume of water flowing into the lake.


With respect to nutrients, phosphorus and nitrogen are generally considered the most important nutrients in freshwater lakes. Phosphorus and, to a lesser degree, nitrogen typically determine the overall amount of aquatic plants present. Aquatic plants adsorb and convert available nutrients into energy, which is then used for additional growth and reproduction. In lakes, aquatic plants are mainly comprised of phytoplankton (free-floating microscopic plants or algae) and macrophytes (higher vascular plants). The most readily available form of phosphorus is dissolved orthophosphate (analytical determined as dissolved reactive phosphorus), while ammonia ( $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ ) and nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ ) are the most readily available forms of nitrogen.

The transfer and flow of energy in lakes is ultimately controlled by complex interactions between various groups of aquatic organisms (both plants and animals). A simplistic diagram of these interactions among aquatic organisms is shown as Figure 1. In Figure 1, algae (phytoplankton) and aquatic macrophytes (plants) capture energy from the sun and convert this energy into chemical energy through the process known as photosynthesis. During photosynthesis, carbon dioxide, nutrients, water and captured sunlight energy are used to produce organic compounds (chemical energy), which are then used to support further growth and reproduction.

Energy continues to flow upward through the food chain. Algae are primarily grazed upon by zooplankton. Zooplankton are tiny aquatic animals that are barely visible to the naked eye. Next, zooplankton serve as prey for planktivorous (plankton-eating) fish and larger invertebrates (macroinvertebrates). In turn, planktivores are consumed by piscivorous (fish-eating) fish. Overall, these aquatic organisms (zooplankton, macroinvertebrates and fish) derive energy by breaking down organic matter through the process known as respiration. During respiration, organic matter, water and dissolved oxygen are converted into carbon dioxide and nutrients.

At the bottom of the food chain (Figure 1), particulate organic waste products (excrement) from aquatic organisms along with dead aquatic organisms settle to the lake bottom and are subsequently feed upon by other organisms. Organisms that live or reside along the lake bottom are referred to as benthivores. After settling to the lake bottom, dead organic materials and organic waste products are now called detritus. Some benthivorous fish (catfish and carp) and microorganisms (bacteria, fungi and protozoans) feed upon detritus. Aquatic organisms that feed upon detritus in lakes are referred to as decomposers. Decomposers obtain energy by breaking down detritus (dead organic matter) via the process of respiration. During decomposition, some of the nutrients are recycled back into lake water and can now once again be used by algae and aquatic plants for growth and reproduction. Any unused detritus will accumulate and eventually become part of the lake sediments, thereby increasing the organic content of these sediments.

Ultimately, the amount of nutrients in lakes controls the overall degree of aquatic productivity (Figure 1). Lakes with low levels of nutrients and low levels of aquatic productivity are referred to as oligotrophic. Oligotrophic lakes are typically clear and deep with low quantities of phytoplankton and rooted aquatic plants. In these lakes, the deeper, colder waters are generally well-oxygenated and capable of supporting coldwater fish such as trout. Conversely, lakes with high nutrient levels and high levels of aquatic productivity are referred to as eutrophic. Eutrophic lakes are generally more turbid and shallower due to the deposition of sediments and the accumulation of detritus. If deep enough, the bottom waters of eutrophic lakes are generally less oxygenated or may be devoid of dissolved oxygen (anoxic). Eutrophic lakes are often capable of supporting warmwater fish such as bluegill and bass. Mesotrophic lakes lie somewhere in between oligotrophic and eutrophic lakes. These lakes contain moderate levels of nutrients and moderate levels of aquatic productivity.



**Figure 1 Aquatic Food Chain**

In some instances, the flow of energy through the food web may be disrupted. In hyper-eutrophic (highly eutrophic) lakes, aquatic productivity is extremely high and is dominated by very large numbers of a few, undesirable species. The phytoplankton community is typically comprised largely by blue-green algae during the summer months. Many species of blue-green algae are not readily grazed upon the zooplankton community. Under these conditions, the blue-green algae community is allowed to flourish due to the lack of predation, while the zooplankton community collapses. Decreases in zooplankton biomass in a lake may in turn adversely affect the lake's fishery. In addition, shallow lake areas may be completely infested with dense stands of aquatic macrophytes and dominated by common carp, catfish or other rough fish.

## **APPENDIX C**

### **Lake Water Quality Data**

Key to Water Quality Parameters and Units of Measure

Parameter:	Units of Measure:
pH (pH)	Expressed in Standard Units (s.u.)
Alkalinity (Alk)	Expressed in milligrams per liter as calcium carbonate(mg/l as CaCO3)
Hardness	Expressed in milligrams per liter as calcium carbonate(mg/l as CaCO3)
Conductivity (Cond)	Expressed in micromhos per cm (umhos/cm)
Conductivity (Cond)	Expressed in microsiemens per cm (uS/cm)
Specific Conductance (Sp Cond)	Expressed in micromhos per cm (umhos/cm) @ 25.0 degrees Celsius
Total Phosphorus (TP)	Expressed as milligrams per liter as phosphorus (mg/l as P)
Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Expressed in milligrams per liter as phosphorus (mg/l as P)
Nitrate (NO3)	Expressed in milligrams per liter as nitrogen (mg/l as N)
Nitrite (NO2)	Expressed in milligrams per liter as nitrogen (mg/l as N)
Ammonia nitrogen (NH3)	Expressed in milligrams per liter as nitrogen (mg/l as N)
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	Expressed in milligrams per liter as nitrogen (mg/l as N)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Expressed in milligrams per liter (mg/l)
Turbidity	Expressed in ntu's (nephelometric turbidity units)
Color	Expressed in Pt/Co Units
Oil & Grease	Expressed in milligrams per liter (mg/l)
Iron (Fe) total/dissolved	Expressed in milligrams per liter (mg/l)
Manganese (Mn) total/dissolved	Expressed in milligrams per liter (mg/l)
Dissolved Oxygen (Dissol Oxy)	Expressed in milligrams per liter (mg/l)
Temperature (Temp)	Expressed in degrees Celsius (degrees C)
Secchi Disk Depth	Expressed in meters (m)
Chlorophyll-a	Expressed in micrograms per liter (ug/l)
Fecal coliform bacteria (FC)	Expressed as number of organisms per one hundred milliliters (No./100 ml)
Fecal streptococcus bacteria (FS)	Expressed as number of organisms per one hundred milliliters (No./100 ml)
Phytoplankton	Expressed as number of organisms per liter (No.per ml)
Phytoplankton	Expressed as biomass in micrograms per liter (ug/l)
Zooplankton	Expressed as number of organisms per liter (No.per liter)
Zooplankton	Expressed as biomass in micrograms per liter (ug/l)

**Notes:**

- TN denotes total nitrogen and is the sum of total Kjeldahl nitrogen, nitrite, and nitrate nitrogen
- NO2/NO3 (nitrate + nitrite nitrogen) can be determined directly by laboratory or by summing nitrate & nitrite concentrations
- (b) denotes below detection limit, therefore data reported as the detection limit
- (\*) indicates calculated value
- (\*\*) indicates *in-situ* field data collected on the study date (also refer to *in-situ* data)
- (^) Analysis performed out of holding time due to late arrival of samples.
- (^v) indicates inconsistent values outside of typical ranges

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Insitu Water Quality Data - Station No. 3

Date mm/dd/yy	Time hh:mm:ss	Site	Depth m	Temp °C	DO % sat	DO mg/L	Cond us/cm	Sp Cond us/cm	pH s.u.	TDS mg/L	Salinity ppt	ORP mV	Chl-a RFU	PC RFU	Conversions		
															Depth ft	Temp °F	Chg Temp ° C
05/20/24	11:18:14	3	0.0	18.4	114.8	10.78	55.8	63.8	8.49	41.0	0.03	102.2	1.25	0.25	0.0	65.2	0.9
05/20/24	11:19:00	3	1.0	17.6	114.2	10.91	54.6	63.6	8.47	41.0	0.03	104.6	0.76	0.17	3.3	63.6	1.0
05/20/24	11:19:28	3	2.0	16.5	111.8	10.90	53.3	63.6	8.34	41.0	0.03	109.0	1.09	0.25	6.6	61.8	0.7
05/20/24	11:20:05	3	3.0	15.8	107.8	10.68	52.2	63.3	8.04	41.0	0.03	117.0	1.82	0.36	9.8	60.5	0.4
05/20/24	11:20:35	3	4.0	15.4	103.7	10.36	51.8	63.5	7.84	41.0	0.03	121.1	1.23	0.25	13.1	59.7	0.5
05/20/24	11:21:07	3	5.0	14.9	99.5	10.05	53.1	65.8	7.63	43.0	0.03	128.5	1.23	0.30	16.4	58.8	1.2
05/20/24	11:21:46	3	6.0	13.7	91.6	9.49	50.8	64.7	7.45	42.0	0.03	133.5	1.17	0.46	19.7	56.7	0.9
05/20/24	11:22:14	3	7.0	12.9	85.9	9.07	49.3	64.1	7.32	42.0	0.03	137.3	0.92	0.39	23.0	55.1	2.2
05/20/24	11:22:49	3	8.0	10.7	73.8	8.20	46.7	64.3	7.11	42.0	0.03	143.8	0.66	0.06	26.2	51.2	0.8
05/20/24	11:23:18	3	9.0	9.9	67.8	7.66	45.7	64.2	6.97	42.0	0.03	147.0	0.44	0.02	29.5	49.9	0.4
05/20/24	11:23:49	3	10.0	9.6	62.4	7.11	45.3	64.2	6.83	42.0	0.03	150.0	0.39	0.13	32.8	49.2	0.2
05/20/24	11:24:38	3	11.0	9.4	57.4	6.58	45.3	64.6	6.61	42.0	0.03	156.1	0.27	-0.06	36.1	48.9	0.1
05/20/24	11:25:12	3	12.0	9.3	54.0	6.19	45.5	65.0	6.50	42.0	0.03	158.7	0.30	-0.04	39.4	48.7	0.1
05/20/24	11:25:39	3	12.7	9.2	49.4	5.68	46.4	66.3	6.42	43.0	0.03	148.8	1.51	0.84	41.5	48.6	
<<insert>>																	
<b>Min</b>			0.0	9.2	49.4	5.68	45.3	63.3	6.42	41.0	0.03	102.2	0.27	-0.06	0.0	48.6	
<b>Max</b>			12.7	18.4	114.8	10.91	55.8	66.3	8.49	43.0	0.03	158.7	1.82	0.84	41.5	65.2	
<b>Max - Min</b>			12.7	9.2	65.4	5.23	10.5	3.0	2.07	2.0	0.00	56.5	1.55	0.90	41.5	16.5	
<b>Count</b>			14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Insitu Water Quality Data - Station No. 3

Date mm/dd/yy	Time hh:mm:ss	Site	Depth m	Temp °C	DO % sat	DO mg/L	Cond us/cm	Sp Cond us/cm	pH s.u.	TDS mg/L	Salinity ppt	ORP mV	Chl-a RFU	PC RFU	Conversions		
															Depth ft	Temp °F	Chg Temp ° C
06/18/24	11:43:19	3	0.0	24.0	107.8	9.07	65.7	66.9	7.60	44.0	0.03	129.3	0.21	0.19	0.0	75.2	1.0
06/18/24	11:43:56	3	1.0	23.0	107.6	9.23	63.9	66.4	7.74	43.0	0.03	124.9	0.39	0.22	3.3	73.4	0.8
06/18/24	11:44:38	3	2.0	22.2	105.6	9.20	62.6	66.1	7.72	43.0	0.03	126.0	0.84	0.15	6.6	72.0	0.2
06/18/24	11:45:18	3	3.0	22.1	105.0	9.17	62.3	66.0	7.69	43.0	0.03	126.8	1.01	0.56	9.8	71.7	0.8
06/18/24	11:45:49	3	4.0	21.3	101.7	9.02	60.9	65.6	7.65	43.0	0.03	127.8	0.80	0.43	13.1	70.3	0.8
06/18/24	11:46:37	3	5.0	20.4	92.6	8.34	59.8	65.5	7.38	43.0	0.03	136.3	1.11	0.99	16.4	68.8	0.8
06/18/24	11:47:33	3	6.0	19.6	85.7	7.85	58.8	65.5	7.13	43.0	0.03	143.7	1.02	0.95	19.7	67.3	4.7
06/18/24	11:48:06	3	7.0	14.9	63.5	6.41	53.0	65.7	6.88	43.0	0.03	153.3	0.51	0.18	23.0	58.9	2.0
06/18/24	11:48:40	3	8.0	13.0	46.8	4.94	50.5	65.6	6.65	43.0	0.03	159.3	0.27	0.10	26.2	55.3	1.7
06/18/24	11:49:30	3	9.0	11.2	29.6	3.25	48.6	65.9	6.45	43.0	0.03	163.8	0.29	0.06	29.5	52.2	1.0
06/18/24	11:50:01	3	10.0	10.2	23.2	2.60	47.6	66.3	6.35	43.0	0.03	166.2	0.22	0.11	32.8	50.4	0.4
06/18/24	11:50:40	3	11.0	9.9	15.2	1.72	47.6	67.0	6.28	44.0	0.03	167.5	0.16	-0.02	36.1	49.7	0.0
06/18/24	11:51:17	3	12.0	9.8	11.9	1.35	47.7	67.1	6.24	44.0	0.03	168.7	0.09	0.05	39.4	49.7	0.0
06/18/24	11:51:49	3	12.6	9.8	10.5	1.19	47.8	67.3	6.22	44.0	0.03	154.4	0.94	0.68	41.3	49.6	
<<insert>>																	
<b>Min</b>			0.0	9.8	10.5	1.19	47.6	65.5	6.22	43.0	0.03	124.9	0.09	-0.02	0.0	49.6	
<b>Max</b>			12.6	24.0	107.8	9.23	65.7	67.3	7.74	44.0	0.03	168.7	1.11	0.99	41.3	75.2	
<b>Max - Min</b>			12.6	14.2	97.3	8.04	18.1	1.8	1.52	1.0	0.00	43.8	1.02	1.01	41.3	25.6	
<b>Count</b>			14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Insitu Water Quality Data - Station No. 3

Date mm/dd/yy	Time hh:mm:ss	Site	Depth m	Temp °C	DO % sat	DO mg/L	Cond us/cm	Sp Cond us/cm	pH s.u.	TDS mg/L	Salinity ppt	ORP mV	Chl-a RFU	PC RFU	Conversions		
															Depth ft	Temp °F	Chg Temp ° C
07/16/24	11:21:15	3	0.0	27.6	113.1	8.92	65.6	62.6	8.28	41.0	0.03	110.5	0.57	0.63	0.0	81.6	0.2
07/16/24	11:22:06	3	1.0	27.4	115.9	9.18	65.4	62.6	8.49	41.0	0.03	104.2	1.19	1.04	3.3	81.2	0.5
07/16/24	11:22:50	3	2.0	26.8	114.2	9.13	64.6	62.5	8.52	41.0	0.03	103.6	1.48	1.46	6.6	80.3	0.3
07/16/24	11:23:48	3	3.0	26.5	111.0	8.92	63.9	62.1	8.35	40.0	0.03	107.6	1.66	1.42	9.8	79.7	0.2
07/16/24	11:24:36	3	4.0	26.3	104.8	8.46	63.3	61.8	7.85	40.0	0.03	120.7	1.49	1.53	13.1	79.3	2.2
07/16/24	11:25:44	3	5.0	24.1	74.9	6.29	61.1	62.2	7.36	40.0	0.03	135.0	0.62	0.90	16.4	75.4	3.0
07/16/24	11:27:15	3	6.0	21.1	42.6	3.79	57.2	61.9	6.82	40.0	0.03	153.1	0.39	0.28	19.7	70.0	1.9
07/16/24	11:28:09	3	7.0	19.2	26.2	2.42	56.2	63.1	6.55	41.0	0.03	160.5	0.35	0.10	23.0	66.6	3.3
07/16/24	11:29:31	3	8.0	16.0	8.0	0.79	52.0	62.8	6.31	41.0	0.03	168.2	0.28	0.01	26.2	60.7	3.0
07/16/24	11:30:23	3	9.0	12.9	4.2	0.44	48.6	63.2	6.20	41.0	0.03	163.6	0.32	0.07	29.5	55.2	1.5
07/16/24	11:31:05	3	10.0	11.4	3.2	0.35	49.6	67.0	6.16	44.0	0.03	78.8	0.12	0.02	32.8	52.6	0.7
07/16/24	11:32:12	3	11.0	10.7	2.5	0.28	51.0	70.1	6.16	46.0	0.03	15.3	0.14	0.11	36.1	51.3	0.1
07/16/24	11:32:54	3	12.0	10.6	2.3	0.26	51.0	70.5	6.11	46.0	0.03	1.3	1.19	1.53	39.4	51.0	
<<insert>>																	
<b>Min</b>			0.0	10.6	2.3	0.26	48.6	61.8	6.11	40.0	0.03	1.3	0.12	0.01	0.0	51.0	
<b>Max</b>			12.0	27.6	115.9	9.18	65.6	70.5	8.52	46.0	0.03	168.2	1.66	1.53	39.4	81.6	
<b>Max - Min</b>			12.0	17.0	113.6	8.92	17.0	8.7	2.41	6.0	0.00	166.9	1.54	1.52	39.4	30.6	
<b>Count</b>			13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Insitu Water Quality Data - Station No. 3

Date mm/dd/yy	Time hh:mm:ss	Site	Depth m	Temp °C	DO % sat	DO mg/L	Cond us/cm	Sp Cond us/cm	pH s.u.	TDS mg/L	Salinity ppt	ORP mV	Chl-a RFU	PC RFU	Conversions		
															Depth ft	Temp °F	Chg Temp ° C
08/12/24	11:09:34	3	0.0	23.8	100.9	8.52	61.6	63.0	7.33	41.0	0.03	110.4	0.55	2.62	0.0	74.9	0.0
08/12/24	11:10:24	3	1.0	23.8	100.5	8.49	61.5	63.0	7.33	41.0	0.03	109.0	0.92	3.33	3.3	74.9	0.0
08/12/24	11:11:19	3	2.0	23.8	100.6	8.49	61.6	63.0	7.31	41.0	0.03	109.3	0.89	3.10	6.6	74.9	0.0
08/12/24	11:12:04	3	3.0	23.8	99.5	8.41	61.5	62.9	7.28	41.0	0.03	109.8	0.92	3.86	9.8	74.8	0.0
08/12/24	11:12:33	3	4.0	23.8	98.9	8.36	61.5	62.9	7.25	41.0	0.03	110.7	0.97	3.54	13.1	74.8	0.0
08/12/24	11:13:12	3	5.0	23.8	97.9	8.28	61.4	62.9	7.24	41.0	0.03	110.8	1.00	3.35	16.4	74.8	0.0
08/12/24	11:13:49	3	6.0	23.7	96.8	8.19	61.3	62.8	7.21	41.0	0.03	111.6	0.93	3.49	19.7	74.7	3.8
08/12/24	11:14:39	3	7.0	19.9	29.2	2.66	56.6	62.7	6.75	41.0	0.03	129.4	0.43	0.19	23.0	67.8	2.2
08/12/24	11:15:25	3	8.0	17.7	10.0	0.95	57.4	66.8	6.46	43.0	0.03	133.4	0.26	0.12	26.2	63.8	3.7
08/12/24	11:16:02	3	9.0	13.9	6.2	0.64	58.5	74.2	6.36	48.0	0.03	-86.6	0.14	0.05	29.5	57.0	1.4
08/12/24	11:16:48	3	10.0	12.5	4.6	0.49	57.5	75.6	6.49	49.0	0.03	-149.1	0.21	0.06	32.8	54.5	0.5
08/12/24	11:17:19	3	11.0	12.0	4.0	0.43	56.9	75.6	6.52	49.0	0.03	-158.3	0.15	0.01	36.1	53.6	0.1
08/12/24	11:17:37	3	11.5	11.9	3.7	0.40	57.1	76.0	6.51	49.0	0.04	-160.9	1.18	0.72	37.6	53.5	
<<insert>>																	
<b>Min</b>			0.0	11.9	3.7	0.40	56.6	62.7	6.36	41.0	0.03	-160.9	0.14	0.01	0.0	53.5	
<b>Max</b>			11.5	23.8	100.9	8.52	61.6	76.0	7.33	49.0	0.04	133.4	1.18	3.86	37.6	74.9	
<b>Max - Min</b>			11.5	11.9	97.2	8.12	5.0	13.3	0.97	8.0	0.01	294.3	1.04	3.85	37.6	21.4	
<b>Count</b>			13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Insitu Water Quality Data - Station No. 3

Date mm/dd/yy	Time hh:mm:ss	Site	Depth m	Temp °C	DO % sat	DO mg/L	Cond us/cm	Sp Cond us/cm	pH s.u.	TDS mg/L	Salinity ppt	ORP mV	Chl-a RFU	PC RFU	Conversions		Chg Temp ° C
															Depth ft	Temp °F	
09/16/24	11:25:11	3	0.0	22.1	113.1	9.87	60.3	63.8	8.40	41.0	0.03	96.7	0.87	2.11	0.0	71.8	0.2
09/16/24	11:25:46	3	1.0	21.9	114.4	10.03	60.0	63.9	8.50	42.0	0.03	93.8	1.52	2.67	3.3	71.3	0.1
09/16/24	11:26:24	3	2.0	21.7	113.2	9.95	59.8	63.8	8.40	41.0	0.03	96.6	1.57	2.78	6.6	71.1	0.2
09/16/24	11:26:59	3	3.0	21.6	110.1	9.71	59.5	63.7	8.20	41.0	0.03	103.0	1.58	2.88	9.8	70.8	1.0
09/16/24	11:27:30	3	4.0	20.6	98.0	8.80	58.2	63.6	7.96	41.0	0.03	112.5	1.06	2.78	13.1	69.0	0.5
09/16/24	11:28:02	3	5.0	20.1	82.9	7.52	57.8	63.8	7.76	41.0	0.03	119.5	0.42	1.02	16.4	68.2	0.1
09/16/24	11:28:30	3	6.0	20.0	73.9	6.72	57.8	63.9	7.61	42.0	0.03	124.4	0.35	0.42	19.7	68.0	0.1
09/16/24	11:28:56	3	7.0	19.9	68.3	6.22	57.8	64.0	7.50	42.0	0.03	128.1	0.33	0.27	23.0	67.8	1.0
09/16/24	11:29:39	3	8.0	18.9	35.9	3.34	56.3	63.7	7.23	41.0	0.03	138.1	0.26	0.05	26.2	66.0	3.2
09/16/24	11:30:09	3	9.0	15.7	13.8	1.37	74.7	90.8	6.71	59.0	0.04	-33.5	0.18	-0.06	29.5	60.3	2.0
09/16/24	11:30:50	3	10.0	13.7	7.7	0.80	73.7	93.9	6.67	61.0	0.04	-108.5	0.18	0.01	32.8	56.7	0.5
09/16/24	11:31:07	3	10.4	13.3	6.5	0.68	74.7	96.3	6.67	63.0	0.04	-125.6	0.48	0.32	34.2	55.9	
<<insert>>																	
<b>Min</b>			0.0	13.3	6.5	0.68	56.3	63.6	6.67	41.0	0.03	-125.6	0.18	-0.06	0.0	55.9	
<b>Max</b>			10.4	22.1	114.4	10.03	74.7	96.3	8.50	63.0	0.04	138.1	1.58	2.88	34.2	71.8	
<b>Max - Min</b>			10.4	8.8	107.9	9.35	18.4	32.7	1.83	22.0	0.01	263.7	1.40	2.94	34.2	15.9	
<b>Count</b>			12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Insitu Water Quality Data - Station No. 3

Date mm/dd/yy	Time hh:mm:ss	Site	Depth m	Temp °C	DO % sat	DO mg/L	Cond us/cm	Sp Cond us/cm	pH s.u.	TDS mg/L	Salinity ppt	ORP mV	Chl-a RFU	PC RFU	Conversions		Chg Temp ° C
															Depth ft	Temp °F	
10/15/24	11:57:28	3	0.0	15.4	77.1	7.71	54.5	66.7	7.84	43.0	0.03	159.0	0.76	0.77	0.0	59.7	0.0
10/15/24	11:58:05	3	1.0	15.4	67.5	6.74	55.1	67.5	7.57	44.0	0.03	163.0	0.81	1.06	3.3	59.8	0.0
10/15/24	11:58:34	3	2.0	15.5	64.9	6.48	55.2	67.5	7.45	44.0	0.03	164.6	0.75	0.99	6.6	59.8	0.0
10/15/24	11:58:55	3	3.0	15.5	63.9	6.38	55.2	67.5	7.40	44.0	0.03	164.6	0.85	0.80	9.8	59.8	0.0
10/15/24	11:59:21	3	4.0	15.5	63.1	6.30	55.2	67.5	7.33	44.0	0.03	165.5	0.82	1.08	13.1	59.8	0.0
10/15/24	11:59:50	3	5.0	15.5	62.6	6.25	55.2	67.5	7.28	44.0	0.03	166.1	0.71	0.92	16.4	59.8	0.0
10/15/24	12:00:08	3	6.0	15.5	62.3	6.22	55.2	67.4	7.26	44.0	0.03	166.1	0.80	0.86	19.7	59.8	0.0
10/15/24	12:00:35	3	7.0	15.5	61.8	6.17	55.2	67.4	7.23	44.0	0.03	165.9	0.86	1.12	23.0	59.8	0.0
10/15/24	12:01:02	3	8.0	15.5	61.4	6.13	55.2	67.5	7.19	44.0	0.03	166.0	0.90	0.86	26.2	59.8	0.0
10/15/24	12:01:31	3	9.0	15.5	61.1	6.10	55.2	67.5	7.17	44.0	0.03	165.8	0.74	0.86	29.5	59.8	0.0
10/15/24	12:02:00	3	10.0	15.5	61.1	6.10	55.2	67.5	7.14	44.0	0.03	166.0	0.74	0.84	32.9	59.8	0.0
<<insert>>																	
<b>Min</b>			0.0	15.4	61.1	6.10	54.5	66.7	7.14	43.0	0.03	159.0	0.71	0.77	0.0	59.7	
<b>Max</b>			10.0	15.5	77.1	7.71	55.2	67.5	7.84	44.0	0.03	166.1	0.90	1.12	32.9	59.8	
<b>Max - Min</b>			10.0	0.1	16.0	1.61	0.7	0.8	0.70	1.0	0.00	7.1	0.19	0.35	32.9	0.1	
<b>Count</b>			11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Insitu Water Quality Data - Station No. 5

Date mm/dd/yy	Time hh:mm:ss	Site	Depth m	Temp °C	DO % sat	DO mg/L	Cond us/cm	Sp Cond us/cm	pH s.u.	TDS mg/L	Salinity ppt	ORP mV	Chl-a RFU	PC RFU	Conversions		Chg Temp ° C
															Depth ft	Temp °F	
05/20/24	10:41:35	5	0.0	18.6	116.9	10.92	69.6	79.2	7.99	51.0	0.04	112.9	1.26	0.24	0.0	65.5	0.7
05/20/24	10:42:32	5	1.0	17.9	116.1	11.01	66.7	77.2	7.97	50.0	0.04	113.9	1.79	0.25	3.3	64.2	1.0
05/20/24	10:43:01	5	2.0	16.9	109.9	10.66	68.0	80.5	7.77	52.0	0.04	119.9	2.26	0.33	6.6	62.3	0.9
05/20/24	10:43:34	5	3.0	15.9	99.7	9.85	62.7	75.8	7.57	49.0	0.03	126.0	1.09	0.17	9.8	60.7	0.5
05/20/24	10:44:14	5	4.0	15.4	90.8	9.08	61.6	75.4	7.38	49.0	0.03	129.9	0.76	0.01	13.1	59.7	0.4
05/20/24	10:44:45	5	5.0	15.0	86.6	8.74	59.1	73.1	7.27	47.0	0.03	132.4	0.49	0.02	16.4	59.0	0.4
05/20/24	10:45:16	5	6.0	14.6	82.2	8.37	59.1	73.8	7.16	48.0	0.03	135.3	0.46	-0.05	19.7	58.2	2.2
05/20/24	10:45:48	5	7.0	12.4	64.0	6.84	58.3	76.9	6.93	50.0	0.04	143.9	0.54	0.06	23.0	54.3	1.6
05/20/24	10:46:20	5	8.0	10.8	46.3	5.14	52.8	72.4	6.74	47.0	0.03	148.6	1.19	0.11	26.2	51.4	0.4
05/20/24	10:46:48	5	8.7	10.3	34.9	3.91	51.4	71.4	6.59	46.0	0.03	150.2	1.56	0.62	28.6	50.6	
<<insert>>																	
<b>Min</b>			0.0	10.3	34.9	3.91	51.4	71.4	6.59	46.0	0.03	112.9	0.46	-0.05	0.0	50.6	
<b>Max</b>			8.7	18.6	116.9	11.01	69.6	80.5	7.99	52.0	0.04	150.2	2.26	0.62	28.6	65.5	
<b>Max - Min</b>			8.7	8.3	82.0	7.10	18.2	9.1	1.40	6.0	0.01	37.3	1.80	0.67	28.6	14.9	
<b>Count</b>			10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Insitu Water Quality Data - Station No. 5

Date mm/dd/yy	Time hh:mm:ss	Site	Depth m	Temp °C	DO % sat	DO mg/L	Cond us/cm	Sp Cond us/cm	pH s.u.	TDS mg/L	Salinity ppt	ORP mV	Chl-a RFU	PC RFU	Conversions		
															Depth ft	Temp °F	Chg Temp ° C
06/18/24	10:58:09	5	0.0	23.9	115.0	9.70	68.0	69.4	7.88	45.0	0.03	121.4	0.50	0.47	0.0	75.0	1.8
06/18/24	10:58:46	5	1.0	22.1	114.7	10.00	65.7	69.5	7.85	45.0	0.03	123.2	1.78	1.07	3.3	71.8	0.7
06/18/24	10:59:19	5	2.0	21.4	110.6	9.77	64.1	68.8	7.69	45.0	0.03	128.3	3.58	1.99	6.6	70.6	0.8
06/18/24	10:59:50	5	3.0	20.7	97.0	8.70	63.9	69.6	7.45	45.0	0.03	136.8	1.59	0.93	9.8	69.2	0.6
06/18/24	11:00:20	5	4.0	20.1	86.7	7.86	64.8	71.5	7.24	46.0	0.03	143.4	1.22	0.80	13.1	68.1	0.8
06/18/24	11:01:16	5	5.0	19.3	75.6	6.96	66.3	74.4	7.01	48.0	0.03	149.5	0.60	0.35	16.4	66.8	1.2
06/18/24	11:01:57	5	6.0	18.1	68.6	6.48	64.5	74.4	6.90	48.0	0.03	151.9	0.38	0.12	19.7	64.5	2.7
06/18/24	11:02:39	5	7.0	15.3	40.7	4.07	61.0	74.8	6.71	49.0	0.03	157.2	0.26	0.10	23.0	59.6	2.2
06/18/24	11:03:19	5	8.0	13.2	21.9	2.30	60.3	77.9	6.54	51.0	0.04	161.3	0.21	0.01	26.2	55.7	1.2
06/18/24	11:03:41	5	8.5	12.0	14.0	1.51	57.2	76.1	6.49	49.0	0.04	154.4	0.70	0.35	27.9	53.6	
<<insert>>																	
<b>Min</b>			0.0	12.0	14.0	1.51	57.2	68.8	6.49	45.0	0.03	121.4	0.21	0.01	0.0	53.6	
<b>Max</b>			8.5	23.9	115.0	10.00	68.0	77.9	7.88	51.0	0.04	161.3	3.58	1.99	27.9	75.0	
<b>Max - Min</b>			8.5	11.9	101.0	8.49	10.8	9.1	1.39	6.0	0.01	39.9	3.37	1.98	27.9	21.4	
<b>Count</b>			10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Insitu Water Quality Data - Station No. 5

Date mm/dd/yy	Time hh:mm:ss	Site	Depth m	Temp °C	DO % sat	DO mg/L	Cond us/cm	Sp Cond us/cm	pH s.u.	TDS mg/L	Salinity ppt	ORP mV	Chl-a RFU	PC RFU	Conversions		
															Depth ft	Temp °F	Chg Temp ° C
07/16/24	10:36:52	5	0.0	26.9	124.3	9.93	74.8	72.2	8.29	47.0	0.03	125.3	1.71	2.65	0.0	80.4	0.3
07/16/24	10:38:25	5	1.0	26.6	125.7	10.09	74.4	72.2	8.48	47.0	0.03	120.2	2.34	2.90	3.3	79.8	0.5
07/16/24	10:40:46	5	2.0	26.1	106.3	8.61	71.3	69.9	7.43	45.0	0.03	144.0	2.12	4.07	6.6	78.9	0.8
07/16/24	10:42:40	5	3.0	25.3	79.5	6.53	74.2	73.7	7.01	48.0	0.03	155.9	1.24	1.44	9.8	77.6	0.7
07/16/24	10:43:52	5	4.0	24.6	59.0	4.91	79.1	79.7	6.79	52.0	0.04	162.1	0.91	0.70	13.1	76.3	1.1
07/16/24	10:45:12	5	5.0	23.5	43.3	3.68	76.4	78.6	6.64	51.0	0.04	166.0	0.53	0.32	16.4	74.3	1.9
07/16/24	10:46:40	5	6.0	21.7	17.7	1.56	70.3	75.1	6.49	49.0	0.03	161.0	0.40	0.11	19.7	71.0	
<<insert>>																	
<b>Min</b>			0.0	21.7	17.7	1.56	70.3	69.9	6.49	45.0	0.03	120.2	0.40	0.11	0.0	71.0	
<b>Max</b>			6.0	26.9	125.7	10.09	79.1	79.7	8.48	52.0	0.04	166.0	2.34	4.07	19.7	80.4	
<b>Max - Min</b>			6.0	5.2	108.0	8.53	8.8	9.8	1.99	7.0	0.01	45.8	1.94	3.96	19.7	9.4	
<b>Count</b>			7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Insitu Water Quality Data - Station No. 5

Date mm/dd/yy	Time hh:mm:ss	Site	Depth m	Temp °C	DO % sat	DO mg/L	Cond us/cm	Sp Cond us/cm	pH s.u.	TDS mg/L	Salinity ppt	ORP mV	Chl-a RFU	PC RFU	Conversions		
															Depth ft	Temp °F	Chg Temp ° C
08/12/24	10:32:00	5	0.0	23.6	116.5	9.87	73.7	75.6	7.77	49.0	0.03	108.7	0.98	4.20	0.0	74.6	0.4
08/12/24	10:32:49	5	1.0	23.2	109.2	9.32	72.8	75.4	7.58	49.0	0.03	113.6	1.21	4.50	3.3	73.8	0.1
08/12/24	10:33:31	5	2.0	23.1	105.3	9.01	72.8	75.5	7.46	49.0	0.03	115.6	1.05	3.77	6.6	73.6	0.3
08/12/24	10:34:08	5	3.0	22.9	94.2	8.10	74.5	77.7	7.28	50.0	0.04	120.2	0.87	1.57	9.8	73.1	0.6
08/12/24	10:35:08	5	4.0	22.2	86.9	7.56	78.6	83.0	7.18	54.0	0.04	120.7	0.94	0.79	13.1	72.0	0.7
08/12/24	10:35:45	5	5.0	21.5	80.4	7.09	70.2	75.2	7.07	49.0	0.03	122.7	0.80	0.52	16.4	70.7	0.7
08/12/24	10:36:28	5	6.0	20.8	73.9	6.61	59.5	64.7	6.97	42.0	0.03	124.1	0.68	0.08	19.7	69.5	0.4
08/12/24	10:36:57	5	6.9	20.4	47.8	4.31	68.1	74.6	6.70	48.0	0.03	124.3	1.39	1.28	22.6	68.8	
<<insert>>																	
<b>Min</b>			0.0	20.4	47.8	4.31	59.5	64.7	6.70	42.0	0.03	108.7	0.68	0.08	0.0	68.8	
<b>Max</b>			6.9	23.6	116.5	9.87	78.6	83.0	7.77	54.0	0.04	124.3	1.39	4.50	22.6	74.6	
<b>Max - Min</b>			6.9	3.2	68.7	5.56	19.1	18.3	1.07	12.0	0.01	15.6	0.71	4.42	22.6	5.8	
<b>Count</b>			8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Insitu Water Quality Data - Station No. 5

Date mm/dd/yy	Time hh:mm:ss	Site	Depth m	Temp °C	DO % sat	DO mg/L	Cond us/cm	Sp Cond us/cm	pH s.u.	TDS mg/L	Salinity ppt	ORP mV	Chl-a RFU	PC RFU	Conversions		
															Depth ft	Temp °F	Chg Temp ° C
09/16/24	10:50:15	5	0.0	21.6	111.9	9.87	70.4	75.4	8.14	49.0	0.03	111.8	0.91	2.61	0.0	70.8	0.2
09/16/24	10:50:48	5	1.0	21.4	111.3	9.85	69.8	75.0	8.08	49.0	0.03	114.0	1.25	3.95	3.3	70.5	0.1
09/16/24	10:51:24	5	2.0	21.3	108.0	9.58	69.0	74.2	8.01	48.0	0.03	115.7	1.22	3.97	6.6	70.3	0.1
09/16/24	10:52:05	5	3.0	21.2	105.2	9.33	68.5	73.8	7.94	48.0	0.03	117.1	1.23	3.47	9.8	70.2	0.6
09/16/24	10:52:42	5	4.0	20.6	93.3	8.37	72.8	79.5	7.81	52.0	0.04	122.4	0.85	1.55	13.1	69.2	0.6
09/16/24	10:53:19	5	5.0	20.0	67.9	6.17	77.0	85.1	7.63	55.0	0.04	128.3	0.61	0.74	16.4	68.0	0.6
09/16/24	10:53:44	5	6.0	19.4	63.3	5.82	80.9	90.6	7.53	59.0	0.04	131.7	0.37	0.67	19.7	67.0	0.3
09/16/24	10:53:58	5	6.3	19.2	53.9	4.98	82.5	92.8	7.43	60.0	0.04	128.7	0.59	1.04	20.6	66.5	
<<insert>>																	
<b>Min</b>			0.0	19.2	53.9	4.98	68.5	73.8	7.43	48.0	0.03	111.8	0.37	0.67	0.0	66.5	
<b>Max</b>			6.3	21.6	111.9	9.87	82.5	92.8	8.14	60.0	0.04	131.7	1.25	3.97	20.6	70.8	
<b>Max - Min</b>			6.3	2.4	58.0	4.89	14.0	19.0	0.71	12.0	0.01	19.9	0.88	3.30	20.6	4.3	
<b>Count</b>			8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Insitu Water Quality Data - Station No. 5

Date mm/dd/yy	Time hh:mm:ss	Site	Depth m	Temp °C	DO % sat	DO mg/L	Cond us/cm	Sp Cond us/cm	pH s.u.	TDS mg/L	Salinity ppt	ORP mV	Chl-a RFU	PC RFU	Conversions		
															Depth ft	Temp °F	Chg Temp ° C
10/15/24	11:23:22	5	0.0	11.7	98.2	10.69	15.7	21.0	8.36	14.0	0.01	122.4	0.44	1.65	0.0	53.1	-2.8
10/15/24	11:23:51	5	1.0	14.5	88.6	9.03	62.5	78.2	8.13	51.0	0.04	132.2	1.31	3.78	3.3	58.1	0.0
10/15/24	11:24:11	5	2.0	14.5	85.5	8.72	62.3	77.9	8.03	51.0	0.04	134.5	1.11	3.95	6.6	58.1	0.2
10/15/24	11:24:35	5	3.0	14.3	82.4	8.45	62.8	79.0	7.94	51.0	0.04	136.8	0.91	3.12	9.8	57.7	0.1
10/15/24	11:24:57	5	4.0	14.1	80.6	8.28	62.7	79.2	7.86	51.0	0.04	138.2	0.97	3.62	13.1	57.4	0.3
10/15/24	11:25:34	5	4.5	13.8	71.1	7.35	68.3	86.9	7.65	56.0	0.04	138.4	0.53	0.67	14.6	56.9	
<<insert>>																	
<b>Min</b>			0.0	11.7	71.1	7.35	15.7	21.0	7.65	14.0	0.01	122.4	0.44	0.67	0.0	53.1	
<b>Max</b>			4.5	14.5	98.2	10.69	68.3	86.9	8.36	56.0	0.04	138.4	1.31	3.95	14.6	58.1	
<b>Max - Min</b>			4.5	2.8	27.1	3.34	52.6	65.9	0.71	42.0	0.03	16.0	0.87	3.28	14.6	5.0	
<b>Count</b>			6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	

Database Last Modified: 02/27/25  
 Staff Initials: arl

Lab ID: ECM (Environmental Compliance Monitoring Inc.)

Ref ID	Lab ID	Year	Date	Station	Layer Code	Layer	Depth (m)	SpCond** (uS/cm)	pH** (s.u.)	ALK (mg/L)	SRP (mg/L)	TP (mg/L)	NO3 (mg/L)	NO2 (mg/L)	NO2/NO3* (mg/L)	NH3 (mg/L)	TKN (mg/L)	TN* (mg/L)	TSS (mg/L)	Ref ID
3382	ECM	2024	05/20/24	3	1	S		64	8.47	23.0	b 0.001	0.010	b 0.050	b 0.004	0.054	0.010	0.090	0.144	b 2.0	3382
3383	ECM	2024	05/20/24	3	3	B		65	6.50	22.0	b 0.001	0.020	0.160	b 0.004	0.164	0.030	0.090	0.254	b 2.0	3383
3384	ECM	2024	05/20/24	5	1	S		77	7.97	20.0	0.001	0.020	0.050	b 0.004	0.054	0.010	0.090	0.144	b 2.0	3384
3385	ECM	2024	05/20/24	5	3	B		72	6.74	32.0	0.002	0.030	0.160	b 0.004	0.164	0.040	0.090	0.254	2.0	3385
3386	ECM	2024	06/18/24	3	1	S		66	7.74	27.0	0.001	0.020	b 0.050	b 0.004	0.054	0.010	0.090	0.144	b 2.0	3386
3387	ECM	2024	06/18/24	3	3	B		67	6.24	26.0	0.002	0.020	0.140	0.004	0.144	0.020	0.090	0.234	b 2.0	3387
3388	ECM	2024	06/18/24	5	1	S		70	7.85	32.0	0.001	0.020	b 0.050	b 0.004	0.054	0.020	0.180	0.234	b 2.0	3388
3389	ECM	2024	06/18/24	5	3	B		78	6.54	20.0	0.002	0.020	0.160	0.005	0.165	0.060	0.130	0.295	2.0	3389
3390	ECM	2024	07/16/24	3	1	S		63	8.49	29.0	b 0.001	0.030	b 0.050	b 0.004	0.054	0.070	0.200	0.254	b 2.0	3390
3391	ECM	2024	07/16/24	3	3	B		70	6.16	31.0	0.005	0.040	0.100	b 0.004	0.104	0.190	0.340	0.444	b 2.0	3391
3392	ECM	2024	07/16/24	5	1	S		72	8.48	22.0	b 0.001	0.030	0.050	b 0.004	0.054	0.060	0.200	0.254	3.0	3392
3393	ECM	2024	07/16/24	5	3	B		79	6.64	23.0	0.001	0.040	0.110	b 0.004	0.114	0.190	0.340	0.454	2.0	3393
3394	ECM	2024	08/12/24	3	1	S		63	7.33	20.0	0.002	0.030	b 0.050	b 0.004	0.054	0.040	0.420	0.474	b 2.0	3394
3395	ECM	2024	08/12/24	3	3	B		76	6.52	22.0	0.034	0.110	0.170	b 0.004	0.174	0.210	0.860	1.034	6.0	3395
3396	ECM	2024	08/12/24	5	1	S		75	7.58	20.0	0.001	0.040	0.060	b 0.004	0.064	0.060	0.420	0.484	6.0	3396
3397	ECM	2024	08/12/24	5	3	B		65	6.97	20.0	0.004	0.060	0.100	b 0.004	0.104	0.140	0.750	0.854	6.0	3397
3398	ECM	2024	09/16/24	3	1	S		64	8.50	24.0	0.001	0.020	b 0.050	b 0.004	0.054	0.030	0.600	0.654	6.0	3398
3399	ECM	2024	09/16/24	3	3	B		94	6.67	25.0	0.038	0.110	0.200	b 0.004	0.204	0.560	1.550	1.754	12.0	3399
3400	ECM	2024	09/16/24	5	1	S		75	8.08	21.0	0.001	0.020	0.060	b 0.004	0.064	0.030	0.480	0.544	8.0	3400
3401	ECM	2024	09/16/24	5	3	B		85	7.63	27.0	0.002	0.020	0.060	b 0.004	0.064	0.080	0.750	0.814	8.0	3401
3402	ECM	2024	10/15/24	3	1	S		68	7.57	20.0	0.003	0.030	b 0.050	b 0.004	0.054	0.060	0.230	0.284	b 2.0	3402
3403	ECM	2024	10/15/24	3	3	B		68	7.17	20.0	0.002	0.030	b 0.050	b 0.004	0.054	0.070	0.230	0.284	3.0	3403
3404	ECM	2024	10/15/24	5	1	S		78	8.13	21.0	0.001	0.030	b 0.050	b 0.004	0.054	0.040	0.230	0.284	3.0	3404
3405	ECM	2024	10/15/24	5	3	B		79	7.86	22.0	0.002	0.020	b 0.050	b 0.004	0.054	0.040	0.290	0.344	7.0	3405

<insert>

- Notes:**
- TN denotes total nitrogen and is the sum of total Kjeldahl nitrogen, nitrite, and nitrate nitrogen
  - NO2/NO3 (nitrate + nitrite nitrogen) can be determined directly by laboratory or by summing nitrate & nitrite concentrations
  - (b) denotes below detection limit, therefore data reported as the detection limit
  - (\*) indicates calculated value
  - (\*\*) indicates *in-situ* field data collected on the study date (also refer to *in-situ* data)
  - (^) Analysis performed out of holding time due to late arrival of samples.
  - (^v) indicates inconsistent values outside of typical ranges

LWWMD Water Quality Data - 2024  
 ALI Customer No. 1157-20

Secchi Depth & Lab Chla Data - Lake Wallenpaupack - All Stations  
 Prepared by Aqua Link, Inc.

Database Last Modified: **02/27/25**  
 Staff Initials: **arl**

Lab ID: ECM (Environmental Compliance Monitoring Inc.)

Ref	Lab	Year	Date	Station	Secchi Depth (m)	Sampling Depth (m)	Chlorophyll a (ug/L)	Pheophytin (ug/L)	Ref	
1219	ECM	2024	05/20/24	3	3.00		10.00	b	0.60	1219
1220	ECM	2024	05/20/24	5	2.00		12.00		1.30	1220
1221	ECM	2024	06/18/24	3	2.40		5.00		1.20	1221
1222	ECM	2024	06/18/24	5	1.40		9.10		4.40	1222
1223	ECM	2024	07/16/24	3	1.80		16.00		2.80	1223
1224	ECM	2024	07/16/24	5	1.10		25.00		6.90	1224
1225	ECM	2024	08/12/24	3	1.15		3.40		1.10	1225
1226	ECM	2024	08/12/24	5	0.75		4.20	b	1.00	1226
1227	ECM	2024	09/16/24	3	1.10		23.00		2.60	1227
1228	ECM	2024	09/16/24	5	0.90		27.00	b	1.00	1228
1229	ECM	2024	10/15/24	3	1.20		6.30	b	1.00	1229
1230	ECM	2024	10/15/24	5	1.00		19.00	b	0.75	1230

Carlson's Trophic State Index

Lab ID: ECM (Environmental Compliance Monitoring Inc.)

Station	Date	Secchi (meters)	Chl-a* (ug/l)	TP* (mg/l as P)	TSI Values			Mean TSI Values		
					Secchi	Chl-a	TP	Secchi	Chl-a	TP
3	05/20/24	3.00	10.00	0.010	44.2	53.2	37.4	51.7	53.7	49.6
3	06/18/24	2.40	5.00	0.020	47.4	46.4	47.4			
3	07/16/24	1.80	16.00	0.030	51.5	57.8	53.2			
3	08/12/24	1.15	3.40	0.030	58.0	42.6	53.2			
3	09/16/24	1.10	23.00	0.020	58.6	61.3	47.4			
3	10/15/24	1.20	6.30	0.030	57.4	48.6	53.2			
<<insert>>										
<b>Min</b>		1.10	3.40	0.010	44.2	42.6	37.4			
<b>Max</b>		3.00	23.00	0.030	58.6	61.3	53.2			
<b>Mean</b>		1.78	10.62	0.023	-----	-----	-----			
<b>Median</b>		1.50	8.15	0.025	-----	-----	-----			
<b>Stds</b>		0.78	7.55	0.008	-----	-----	-----			
<b>Std</b>		0.72	6.89	0.007	-----	-----	-----			
<b>Count</b>		6	6	6	6	6	6			

Note(s): (\*) indicates data reported for surface (1.0 m)

# Plankton Identification & Enumeration

Kenneth Wagener, Ph.D.

## Algae – Phytoplankton

### Sample Collection

Samples are normally received by mail or courier. If collected by K. Wagner, samples are either grab samples collected about 1 ft below the surface or are composite samples from a flexible tube lowered to a depth equal to twice the Secchi transparency or the depth of the thermocline, whichever is least. Samples are collected in straight sided plastic containers with a volume of 125 to 1000 ml. Sample bottles are filled to the shoulder of the bottle (straight sided part is filled, air space left by not filling the neck). Samples are preserved in either gluteraldehyde (0.3 to 0.5% by volume) or Lugol's solution (1 to 2% by volume), depending upon client preference. With the use of gluteraldehyde, samples should froth slightly when shaken. For Lugol's solution, the sample should have a weak tea color. If algae appear dense, a little more preservative (up to about double) may be warranted. Samples are labeled with waterbody name, station, date and type of preservative.

### Sample Processing

Preserved samples are allowed to stand undisturbed for at least 3 days and normally for 1 week. Each sample is viewed for visual signs of algal density (amount of material accumulated on the container bottom or floating at the surface). Unless the sample obviously contains visually large amounts of algae, the supernatant is decanted or siphoned from the middle to concentrate the sample by a factor of 2 to 6, depending upon how easy it is to remove supernatant without disturbing settled particles (this is a function of container geometry). The remaining sample is then vigorously shaken for 1 minute and 50 mL of sample is poured into a 50 mL graduated test tube.

Test tubes are clear cylinders with a height to diameter ratio of 5:1, with a conical bottom containing approximately 5 mL. Tubes are labeled to match the original sample bottles. Samples in the tubes are allowed to stand undisturbed for at least 3 days and normally for 1 week, after which the concentration process described for the original sample is repeated. Final concentrate volume is typically about 10 mL, concentrating the sample in the tube by a factor of approximately 5. Final concentration factors are therefore typically on the order of 10 to 30, although samples with high algal density may not be concentrated at all and samples with very low density may be concentrated by factors up to 100.

### Sample Examination

The concentrated sample is shaken vigorously for about 1 minute to homogenize the contents, then 0.1 mL is pipetted into a Palmer-Maloney style counting chamber. This circular chamber has a depth of 0.04 cm and a diameter of 1.75 cm. The slide is allowed to stand for 5-15 minutes. The slide is then scanned at 200X power (20X objective and 10X oculars) under phase contrast optics and a list of all encountered algal taxa is constructed. Viewing at 400X is conducted if necessary to identify taxa. Using a standard microscope slide and a separate sample aliquot, it is also possible to view specimens at 1000X under oil immersion if necessary. Identifications are made from a variety of reference books as needed, relying mainly on Wehr and Sheath 2003. Actual counting (see below) is performed at 400X.

### Sample Enumeration

Counts of algal cells are made along complete transects across the slide; these transects are called strips. A strip count involves recording the cells of each taxon (usually genus) encountered along the transect. To avoid overcounting, cells partially visible on the left side are counted, while those partially visible along the right side are ignored. If appropriate to the project, natural units, colonies, filaments, or other cell groupings may be counted, but in all cases an average number of cells per algal grouping is obtained to allow calculation of density as cells/mL. Based on cell measurements, cells of each taxon are recorded as small, medium or large specimens of the corresponding taxon. The size categories are genus-specific; a large specimen of one taxon with typically smaller cells may be smaller than a small specimen of another taxon with typically larger cells. At least two strips are counted, after which results from each strip are compared. If the increase in taxa is more than 10% of the

total or the abundance of any two possible dominants (genera comprising more than 20% of the total count) differs by more than 10%, additional strips are counted until the “10% rule” is satisfied.

### **Calculations**

All counts are recorded in a spreadsheet file. A multiplication factor is established as the inverse of the product of the fraction of 1 mL viewed and the sample concentration factor. For example, if one tenth of the slide was viewed, with that slide representing one tenth of a mL, and the sample had been concentrated by a factor of 10, the multiplication factor would be  $1/(0.1 \times 0.1 \times 10)$ , or 10. Multiplication factors are typically between 6 and 30. The cell count for each taxon is multiplied by this factor and recorded in a separate portion of the spreadsheet for easy printing, as cells/mL. Cell counts are tallied by genus, ecologically significant groupings within algal divisions (e.g., flagellated greens, filamentous blue-greens), algal division (e.g., blue-greens, greens, diatoms) and as a grand total.

Based on the number of cells of each taxon in each corresponding size category, a biomass estimate is calculated. Each size category for each taxon is assigned a biomass per cell, based on the average cell dimensions for that category and a specific gravity of 1.0. Multiplication of the genus and size specific factor by the number of cells in that taxon and size category yields both a biovolume and biomass estimate. The sum for each genus (three possible size categories) is reported as ug/L. The sum for each ecologically significant grouping, algal division and the grand total are reported as well.

If requested, a conversion to algal standard units (ASU) is also made. The average area (two dimensional) of each cell for each genus and size category is multiplied by the corresponding number of cells and divided by 400 square microns to derive an ASU value for each taxon. The ASUs are summed for each ecologically significant grouping, algal division and as a grand total as well.

The total number of taxa per ecologically significant grouping, algal division and per sample is also reported, simply as a summation of the taxa observed. Shannon-Weiner Diversity (S) is calculated by the appropriate formula based on the number of cells recorded for each taxon and for the biomass of each taxon. Pielou's Evenness (J) is also calculated, based on S divided by the maximum possible S value for the number of taxa observed, yielding a value between 0 and 1. Additional indices can be calculated as warranted.

### **Quality Control**

Approximately one sample in every ten is subjected to re-analysis. Samples for QC checks are chosen randomly from samples available at the time of analysis. Differences of 10-20% are typical for phytoplankton samples counted by the same analyst and considered acceptable for use in evaluating aquatic conditions.

### **Algae – Periphyton**

#### **Sample Collection**

Samples are normally received by mail or courier. If collected by K. Wagner, samples are collected by scraping a defined area of natural or artificial substrate. Enough distilled water is added to create a mixture of appropriate density for microscopic analysis of an aliquot of well-mixed sample. Samples are preserved in either gluteraldehyde or Lugol's solution, depending upon client preference, but as algal density is likely to be high, double the amount of preservative used for phytoplankton samples (1% gluteraldehyde, 2-4% Lugols). Container shape is not critical, but small size (125-250 ml) plastic bottles are preferred, as periphyton samples tend to be very concentrated to begin with. Samples are labeled with waterbody name, station, date and type of preservative, plus the area that was sampled in square centimeters.

#### **Sample Processing, Examination and Enumeration**

Samples should not require any concentration, but may be diluted by addition of distilled water. If necessary, concentration by settling is performed as described for phytoplankton analysis above. Examination and enumeration follow the phytoplankton analysis protocols above.

## **Calculations**

All counts are recorded in a spreadsheet file. A multiplication factor is established in the same manner as for phytoplankton, except that the factor for converting cell count to cells/mL is then multiplied by the number of mL of sample and divided by the square centimeters of substrate sampled to yield a measure of cells/cm<sup>2</sup>. All other calculations follow the phytoplankton analysis procedures.

## **Zooplankton**

### **Sample Collection**

Samples are normally received by mail or courier. If collected by K. Wagner, samples are concentrates obtained by towing a plankton net with a 53  $\mu$ m mesh size through at least 30 m of water (multiple shorter tows as needed). The net is typically retrieved at an oblique angle after allowing it to settle to within 1 m of the bottom of the lake. Care is taken to avoid tows long enough to cause net clogging. Samples are preserved in either formalin (2%) or glutaraldehyde (2%) or Lugol's solution (strong tea color, usually about 4%), depending upon client preference. Container shape is not critical, but small size (125-250 ml) plastic bottles are preferred, as zooplankton tow samples tend to be very concentrated to begin with. Samples are labeled with waterbody name, station, date and type of preservative, plus the length of the tow and the diameter of the net used.

### **Sample Processing**

Samples are allowed to stand undisturbed for at least 10 minutes and normally for several hours. Each sample is viewed for visual signs of zooplankton density (amount of apparent zooplankton and other particles accumulated on the container bottom). The supernatant is decanted or siphoned until the concentrated sample will fit into a 50 mL graduated test tube. This may require multiple episodes of settling and transfer, depending upon container geometry and the quantity of algae present, to get a zooplankton sample that can be properly viewed at an appropriate concentration. Where considerable algae are present, siphoning is timed to remove as much algae as possible without losing zooplankton; zooplankton settle faster than most algae. Multiple refills with distilled water, with repeat of the settling/siphoning process, are used to clear the sample of algae to the extent necessary to facilitate unobstructed viewing of zooplankton.

Test tubes are clear cylinders with a height to diameter ratio of 5:1, with a conical bottom containing approximately 5 mL. Tubes are labeled to match the original sample bottles. Final concentrate volume is typically 20 to 50 mL, representing 500 to 1000 L of filtered lake water, depending upon net diameter. Final concentration factors are therefore typically on the order of 20,000 to 30,000.

### **Sample Examination**

The concentrated sample is shaken vigorously for about 30 seconds to homogenize the contents, then 1 mL is pipetted into a Sedgewick-Rafter style counting chamber. This rectangular chamber has a depth of 0.1 cm, a length of 5 cm and a width of 2 cm. The slide is then scanned at 40X power (4X objective and 10X oculars) under brightfield optics and a list of all encountered zooplankton taxa is constructed. Viewing at 100X or higher power is conducted as necessary to identify taxa. Identifications are made from a variety of reference books as needed.

### **Sample Enumeration**

Counts of zooplankton individuals are made along complete transects across the slide; these transects are called strips. A strip count involves recording the individuals of each taxon (usually genus) encountered along the transect. To avoid overcounting, individuals partially visible on the top side are counted, while those partially visible along the bottom side are ignored. Based on body length measurements, individuals of each taxon are recorded as small, medium or large specimens of the corresponding taxon. The size categories are genus-specific; a large specimen of a small-bodied taxon may be smaller than a small specimen of a large-bodied taxon. At least two strips are counted, after which results from each strip are compared. If the increase in taxa is more than 10% of the total or the ratio of any two possible dominants (genera comprising more than 20% of the total count) is greater than 10%, additional strips are counted until the "10% rule" is satisfied. The slide is refilled with fresh sample if more than 3 strips are needed.

### **Calculations**

All counts are recorded in a spreadsheet file as individuals/L. A multiplication factor is established by dividing the sample volume in mL by the product of the fraction of 1 mL viewed and the number of liters of water filtered. For example, if half of the slide was viewed, with that slide representing 40 mL of concentrated sample, and the concentrated sample represented 800 liters, the multiplication factor would be  $40/(0.5 \times 800)$ , or 0.1. The specimen count for each taxon is multiplied by this factor and recorded in a separate portion of the spreadsheet for easy printing, as individuals/L. Counts are tallied by genus and zooplankton group (e.g., rotifers, copepods, cladocerans, etc.), and as a grand total.

Based on the number of individuals of each taxon in each corresponding size category, a biomass estimate is calculated. Each size category for each taxon is assigned a biomass per individual, based on the average body length for that category and standard regressions for body weight as a function of length. Multiplication of the genus and size specific factor by the number of individuals in that taxon and size category yields a biomass estimate. The sum for each genus (three possible size categories) is reported as ug/L. The sum for each zooplankton group and the grand total are reported as well.

The total number of taxa per zooplankton group and per sample is also reported, simply as a summation of the taxa observed. Shannon-Weiner Diversity (S) is calculated by the appropriate formula based on the number of individuals recorded for each taxon. Pielou's Evenness (J) is also calculated, based on S divided by the maximum possible S value for the number of taxa observed, yielding a value between 0 and 1.

A size distribution is also generated, based on the observed body lengths. Average body length for all zooplankton is reported in mm, as well as the average body length for crustacean zooplankton (primarily copepods and cladocerans).

### **Quality Control**

Approximately one sample in every ten is subjected to re-analysis. Samples for QC checks are chosen randomly from samples available at the time of analysis. Differences of 10-20% are typical for zooplankton samples counted by the same analyst and considered acceptable for use in evaluating aquatic conditions.

PHYTOPLANKTON DENSITY (CELLS/ML)

\* = potentially toxic

\*\* = likely toxic

# = taste and odor producer

	LWWMD 3 05/20/24	LWWMD 3 06/18/24	LWWMD 3 07/16/24	LWWMD 3 08/12/24	LWWMD 3 09/16/24	LWWMD 3 10/15/24
<b>BACILLARIOPHYTA</b>						
<b>Centric Diatoms</b>						
<i>Aulacoseira</i> #	86.4	0.0	0.0	115.2	68.8	95.2
<i>Cyclotella/related taxa</i> #	0.0	924.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.2
<i>Stephanodiscus</i> #	115.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Araphid Pennate Diatoms</b>						
<i>Asterionella</i> #	576.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.4
<i>Colonial Fragilaria/related taxa</i> #	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.4	68.8	81.6
<i>Single Fragilaria/Synedra</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	51.6	0.0
<i>Tabellaria</i> #	230.4	264.0	344.0	230.4	172.0	81.6
<b>Monoraphid Pennate Diatoms</b>						
<b>Biraphid Pennate Diatoms</b>						
<i>Nitzschia</i> #	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.8
<b>CHLOROPHYTA</b>						
<b>Flagellated Chlorophytes</b>						
<b>Cocoid/Colonial Chlorophytes</b>						
<i>Elakatothrix</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.4	0.0
<i>Quadrigula</i>	0.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Filamentous Chlorophytes</b>						
<b>Desmids</b>						
<i>Cosmarium</i> #	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.2	108.8
<i>Staurastrum</i> #	0.0	0.0	17.2	43.2	34.4	27.2
<i>Xanthidium</i>	14.4	0.0	0.0	14.4	17.2	0.0
<b>CHRYSOPHYTA</b>						
<b>Flagellated Classic Chrysophytes</b>						
<i>Dinobryon</i> #	792.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Kephyrion/Pseudokephyrion</i>	17712.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Synura</i> #	115.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Non-Motile Classic Chrysophytes</b>						
<b>Haptophytes</b>						
<b>Tribophytes/Eustigmatophytes</b>						
<b>Raphidophytes</b>						
<b>CRYPTOPHYTA</b>						
<i>Cryptomonas</i> #	115.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	103.2	54.4
<b>CYANOPHYTA</b>						
<b>Unicellular and Colonial Forms</b>						
<i>Aphanocapsa</i> * #	0.0	0.0	1376.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Microcystis</i> ** #	0.0	1320.0	1720.0	1440.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Woronichinia</i> * #	1440.0	4620.0	15480.0	2160.0	1720.0	0.0
<b>Filamentous Nitrogen Fixers</b>						
<i>Aphanizomenon</i> ** #	4320.0	4620.0	7740.0	8640.0	13244.0	6936.0
<i>Dolichospermum</i> ** #	432.0	3960.0	5676.0	25920.0	28380.0	11560.0
<b>Filamentous Non-Nitrogen Fixers</b>						
<i>Planktothrix</i> ** #	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3400.0
<i>Pseudanabaena/Komvophoron</i> * #	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5440.0
<b>EUGLENOPHYTA</b>						
<i>Trachelomonas</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.8
<b>PYRRHOPHYTA</b>						
<i>Ceratium</i> #	0.0	0.0	17.2	14.4	0.0	0.0

PHYTOPLANKTON DENSITY (CELLS/ML)

\* = potentially toxic

\*\* = likely toxic

# = taste and odor producer

	LWWMD 3 05/20/24	LWWMD 3 06/18/24	LWWMD 3 07/16/24	LWWMD 3 08/12/24	LWWMD 3 09/16/24	LWWMD 3 10/15/24
<b>DENSITY (CELLS/ML) SUMMARY</b>						
<b>BACILLARIOPHYTA</b>	<b>1008.0</b>	<b>1188.0</b>	<b>344.0</b>	<b>432.0</b>	<b>361.2</b>	<b>380.8</b>
Centric Diatoms	201.6	924.0	0.0	115.2	68.8	122.4
Araphid Pennate Diatoms	806.4	264.0	344.0	316.8	292.4	217.6
Monoraphid Pennate Diatoms	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Biraphid Pennate Diatoms	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.8
<b>CHLOROPHYTA</b>	<b>14.4</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>86.0</b>	<b>57.6</b>	<b>103.2</b>	<b>136.0</b>
Flagellated Chlorophytes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cocoid/Colonial Chlorophytes	0.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	34.4	0.0
Filamentous Chlorophytes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Desmids	14.4	0.0	17.2	57.6	68.8	136.0
<b>CHRYSOPHYTA</b>	<b>18619.2</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Flagellated Classic Chrysophytes	18619.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Non-Motile Classic Chrysophytes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Haptophytes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tribophytes/Eustigmatophytes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Raphidophytes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>CRYPTOPHYTA</b>	<b>115.2</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>103.2</b>	<b>54.4</b>
<b>CYANOPHYTA</b>	<b>6192.0</b>	<b>14520.0</b>	<b>31992.0</b>	<b>38160.0</b>	<b>43344.0</b>	<b>27336.0</b>
Unicellular and Colonial Forms	1440.0	5940.0	18576.0	3600.0	1720.0	0.0
Filamentous Nitrogen Fixers	4752.0	8580.0	13416.0	34560.0	41624.0	18496.0
Filamentous Non-Nitrogen Fixers	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8840.0
<b>EUGLENOPHYTA</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>40.8</b>
<b>PYRRHOPHYTA</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>17.2</b>	<b>14.4</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>25948.8</b>	<b>15708.0</b>	<b>32439.2</b>	<b>38664.0</b>	<b>43911.6</b>	<b>27948.0</b>
<b>CELL DIVERSITY</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>0.42</b>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>0.62</b>
<b>CELL EVENNESS</b>	<b>0.45</b>	<b>0.84</b>	<b>0.62</b>	<b>0.42</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.54</b>
<b>NUMBER OF TAXA</b>						
<b>BACILLARIOPHYTA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>
Centric Diatoms	2	1	0	1	1	2
Araphid Pennate Diatoms	2	1	1	2	3	3
Monoraphid Pennate Diatoms	0	0	0	0	0	0
Biraphid Pennate Diatoms	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>CHLOROPHYTA</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>
Flagellated Chlorophytes	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cocoid/Colonial Chlorophytes	0	0	1	0	1	0
Filamentous Chlorophytes	0	0	0	0	0	0
Desmids	1	0	1	2	3	2
<b>CHRYSOPHYTA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Flagellated Classic Chrysophytes	3	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Motile Classic Chrysophytes	0	0	0	0	0	0
Haptophytes	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tribophytes/Eustigmatophytes	0	0	0	0	0	0
Raphidophytes	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>CRYPTOPHYTA</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CYANOPHYTA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>
Unicellular and Colonial Forms	1	2	3	2	1	0
Filamentous Nitrogen Fixers	2	2	2	2	2	2
Filamentous Non-Nitrogen Fixers	0	0	0	0	0	2
<b>EUGLENOPHYTA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>PYRRHOPHYTA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14</b>

**PHYTOPLANKTON BIOMASS (UG/L)**

\* = potentially toxic

\*\* = likely toxic

# = taste and odor producer

	LWWMD 3 05/20/24	LWWMD 3 06/18/24	LWWMD 3 07/16/24	LWWMD 3 08/12/24	LWWMD 3 09/16/24	LWWMD 3 10/15/24
<b>BACILLARIOPHYTA</b>						
<b>Centric Diatoms</b>						
<i>Aulacoseira</i> #	25.9	0.0	0.0	34.6	20.6	28.6
<i>Cyclotella/related taxa</i> #	0.0	92.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.0
<i>Stephanodiscus</i> #	149.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Araphid Pennate Diatoms</b>						
<i>Asterionella</i> #	115.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.9
<i>Colonial Fragilaria/related taxa</i> #	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.9	20.6	24.5
<i>Single Fragilaria/Synedra</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.3	0.0
<i>Tabellaria</i> #	184.3	211.2	275.2	184.3	137.6	65.3
<b>Monoraphid Pennate Diatoms</b>						
<b>Biraphid Pennate Diatoms</b>						
<i>Nitzschia</i> #	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.6
<b>CHLOROPHYTA</b>						
<b>Flagellated Chlorophytes</b>						
<b>Cocoid/Colonial Chlorophytes</b>						
<i>Elakatothrix</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0
<i>Quadrigula</i>	0.0	0.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Filamentous Chlorophytes</b>						
<b>Desmids</b>						
<i>Cosmarium</i> #	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.8	87.0
<i>Staurastrum</i> #	0.0	0.0	13.8	34.6	27.5	21.8
<i>Xanthidium</i>	4.3	0.0	0.0	4.3	5.2	0.0
<b>CHRYSOPHYTA</b>						
<b>Flagellated Classic Chrysophytes</b>						
<i>Dinobryon</i> #	2376.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Kephyrion/Pseudokephyrion</i>	1771.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Synura</i> #	92.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Non-Motile Classic Chrysophytes</b>						
<b>Haptophytes</b>						
<b>Tribophytes/Eustigmatophytes</b>						
<b>Raphidophytes</b>						
<b>CRYPTOPHYTA</b>						
<i>Cryptomonas</i> #	184.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	165.1	87.0
<b>CYANOPHYTA</b>						
<b>Unicellular and Colonial Forms</b>						
<i>Aphanocapsa</i> * #	0.0	0.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Microcystis</i> ** #	0.0	13.2	17.2	14.4	0.0	0.0
<i>Woronichinia</i> * #	14.4	46.2	154.8	21.6	17.2	0.0
<b>Filamentous Nitrogen Fixers</b>						
<i>Aphanizomenon</i> ** #	561.6	600.6	1006.2	1123.2	1721.7	901.7
<i>Dolichospermum</i> ** #	86.4	792.0	1135.2	5184.0	5676.0	2312.0
<b>Filamentous Non-Nitrogen Fixers</b>						
<i>Planktothrix</i> ** #	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.0
<i>Pseudanabaena/Komvophoron</i> * #	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.4
<b>EUGLENOPHYTA</b>						
<i>Trachelomonas</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.3
<b>PYRRHOPHYTA</b>						
<i>Ceratium</i> #	0.0	0.0	299.3	250.6	0.0	0.0

PHYTOPLANKTON BIOMASS (UG/L)

\* = potentially toxic

\*\* = likely toxic

# = taste and odor producer

TAXON	LWWMD 3 05/20/24	LWWMD 3 06/18/24	LWWMD 3 07/16/24	LWWMD 3 08/12/24	LWWMD 3 09/16/24	LWWMD 3 10/15/24
<b>BIOMASS (UG/L) SUMMARY</b>						
<b>BACILLARIOPHYTA</b>	<b>475.2</b>	<b>303.6</b>	<b>275.2</b>	<b>244.8</b>	<b>220.2</b>	<b>229.8</b>
Centric Diatoms	175.7	92.4	0.0	34.6	20.6	96.6
Araphid Pennate Diatoms	299.5	211.2	275.2	210.2	199.5	100.6
Monoraphid Pennate Diatoms	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Biraphid Pennate Diatoms	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.6
<b>CHLOROPHYTA</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>38.9</b>	<b>49.9</b>	<b>108.8</b>
Flagellated Chlorophytes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cocoid/Colonial Chlorophytes	0.0	0.0	13.8	0.0	3.4	0.0
Filamentous Chlorophytes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Desmids	4.3	0.0	13.8	38.9	46.4	108.8
<b>CHRYSOPHYTA</b>	<b>4239.4</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Flagellated Classic Chrysophytes	4239.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Non-Motile Classic Chrysophytes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Haptophytes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tribophytes/Eustigmatophytes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Raphidophytes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>CRYPTOPHYTA</b>	<b>184.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>165.1</b>	<b>87.0</b>
<b>CYANOPHYTA</b>	<b>662.4</b>	<b>1452.0</b>	<b>2327.2</b>	<b>6343.2</b>	<b>7414.9</b>	<b>3302.1</b>
Unicellular and Colonial Forms	14.4	59.4	185.8	36.0	17.2	0.0
Filamentous Nitrogen Fixers	648.0	1392.6	2141.4	6307.2	7397.7	3213.7
Filamentous Non-Nitrogen Fixers	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.4
<b>EUGLENOPHYTA</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>99.3</b>
<b>PYRRHOPHYTA</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>299.3</b>	<b>250.6</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5565.6</b>	<b>1755.6</b>	<b>2929.2</b>	<b>6877.4</b>	<b>7850.1</b>	<b>3827.0</b>
<b>BIOMASS DIVERSITY</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>0.55</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>0.36</b>	<b>0.36</b>	<b>0.57</b>
<b>BIOMASS EVENNESS</b>	<b>0.62</b>	<b>0.71</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.36</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.50</b>

	5/20/24	6/18/24	7/16/24	8/12/24	9/16/24	10/15/24
<b>BIOMASS (UG/L) SUMMARY</b>						
<b>BACILLARIOPHYTA</b>	475	304	275	245	220	230
<b>CHLOROPHYTA</b>	4	0	28	39	50	109
<b>CHRYSOPHYTA</b>	4239	0	0	0	0	0
<b>CRYPTOPHYTA</b>	184	0	0	0	165	87
<b>CYANOPHYTA</b>	662	1452	2327	6343	7415	3302
<b>EUGLENOPHYTA</b>	0	0	0	0	0	99
<b>PYRRHOPHYTA</b>	0	0	299	251	0	0

ZOOPLANKTON DENSITY (#/L)

TAXON	LWWMD 3 5/20/24	LWWMD 3 6/18/24	LWWMD 3 7/16/24	LWWMD 3 8/12/24	LWWMD 3 9/16/24	LWWMD 3 10/15/24
<b>PROTOZOA</b>						
<b>Ciliophora</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.7	7.3
<b>Mastigophora</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Sarcodina</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>ROTIFERA</b>						
<i>Anuraeopsis</i>	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Asplanchna</i>	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.3
<i>Conochilus</i>	0.0	0.0	2.9	3.4	20.3	8.7
<i>Filinia</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0
<i>Kellicottia</i>	1.5	1.1	0.0	0.0	3.5	5.8
<i>Keratella</i>	9.3	9.9	7.6	9.9	16.8	31.3
<i>Polyarthra</i>	16.8	24.3	20.6	3.4	10.4	29.6
<i>Trichocerca</i>	2.4	0.4	1.3	3.0	0.6	3.5
<b>COPEPODA</b>						
<b>Copepoda-Cyclopoida</b>						
<i>Cyclops group</i>	2.7	0.8	1.7	1.3	1.2	0.3
<i>Mesocyclops</i>	0.3	0.8	1.7	1.3	1.5	0.0
<b>Copepoda-Calanoida</b>						
<i>Diaptomus group</i>	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.5	0.0
<b>Other Copepoda-Nauplius</b>	1.8	1.9	6.7	7.7	2.9	1.5
<b>CLADOCERA</b>						
<i>Alona</i>	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Bosmina</i>	2.4	5.3	1.3	0.4	2.0	1.7
<i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	0.0	1.9	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3
<i>Chydorus</i>	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.7	0.0	0.3
<i>Daphnia dubia</i>	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Diaphanosoma</i>	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Holopedium</i>	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>OTHER ZOOPLANKTON</b>						

ZOOPLANKTON DENSITY (#/L)

TAXON	LWWMD 3 5/20/24	LWWMD 3 6/18/24	LWWMD 3 7/16/24	LWWMD 3 8/12/24	LWWMD 3 9/16/24	LWWMD 3 10/15/24
<b>SUMMARY STATISTICS</b>						
<b>DENSITY</b>						
PROTOZOA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.7	7.3
ROTIFERA	32.7	36.1	32.3	21.9	52.2	79.2
COPEPODA	4.8	3.4	10.5	10.8	7.0	1.7
CLADOCERA	2.7	8.7	3.8	2.6	2.3	2.3
OTHER ZOOPLANKTON	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL ZOOPLANKTON	40.2	48.3	46.6	35.3	70.2	90.5
<b>TAXONOMIC RICHNESS</b>						
PROTOZOA	0	0	0	0	1	1
ROTIFERA	6	5	4	6	6	6
COPEPODA	3	3	4	4	4	2
CLADOCERA	2	4	5	3	2	3
OTHER ZOOPLANKTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ZOOPLANKTON	11	12	13	13	13	12
S-W DIVERSITY INDEX	0.77	0.69	0.79	0.91	0.85	0.73
EVENNESS INDEX	0.74	0.64	0.71	0.82	0.76	0.68
MEAN LENGTH (mm): ALL FORMS	0.25	0.22	0.21	0.22	0.15	0.12
MEAN LENGTH: CRUSTACEANS	0.62	0.53	0.44	0.38	0.45	0.34

ZOOPLANKTON BIOMASS (UG/L)

TAXON	LWWMD 3 5/20/24	LWWMD 3 6/18/24	LWWMD 3 7/16/24	LWWMD 3 8/12/24	LWWMD 3 9/16/24	LWWMD 3 10/15/24
<b>PROTOZOA</b>						
<b>Ciliophora</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
<b>Mastigophora</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Sarcodina</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>ROTIFERA</b>						
<i>Anuraeopsis</i>	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Asplanchna</i>	10.5	0.0	0.0	2.2	2.9	1.5
<i>Conochilus</i>	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.8	0.3
<i>Filinia</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
<i>Kellicottia</i>	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
<i>Keratella</i>	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.9	1.5	2.8
<i>Polyarthra</i>	2.5	3.3	2.8	0.5	0.9	2.7
<i>Trichocerca</i>	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.7
<b>COPEPODA</b>						
<b>Copepoda-Cyclopoida</b>						
<i>Cyclops group</i>	9.9	4.0	4.1	3.1	2.8	0.7
<i>Mesocyclops</i>	2.5	6.3	5.1	1.6	1.8	0.0
<b>Copepoda-Calanoidea</b>						
<i>Diaptomus group</i>	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.0
<b>Other Copepoda-Nauplius</b>	5.5	5.0	17.8	20.5	7.7	3.8
<b>CLADOCERA</b>						
<i>Alona</i>	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Bosmina</i>	7.2	9.8	1.2	0.4	2.0	1.7
<i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	0.0	4.9	1.1	1.1	0.8	0.8
<i>Chydorus</i>	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.7	0.0	0.3
<i>Daphnia dubia</i>	0.0	2.2	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Diaphanosoma</i>	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Holopedium</i>	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>OTHER ZOOPLANKTON</b>						

ZOOPLANKTON BIOMASS (UG/L)

	LWWMD 3 5/20/24	LWWMD 3 6/18/24	LWWMD 3 7/16/24	LWWMD 3 8/12/24	LWWMD 3 9/16/24	LWWMD 3 10/15/24
--	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	------------------------

SUMMARY STATISTICS

BIOMASS						
PROTOZOA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7
ROTIFERA	14.4	4.3	3.8	4.0	6.3	8.2
COPEPODA	18.0	15.3	27.2	25.5	13.0	4.6
CLADOCERA	9.7	26.4	6.4	3.2	2.7	2.7
OTHER ZOOPLANKTON	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL ZOOPLANKTON	42.0	46.1	37.4	32.7	23.0	16.3